1.A.5.b - Other, Mobile (including Military)

Short description

In sub-category *1.A.5.b - Other, Mobile (including Military)* emissions from landbased, air- and waterborne military vehicles are reported.

NFR-Code	Name of Category	Method	AD	EF	Key Category
1.A.5.b	**Other, Mobile (including Military)**	*see sub-category details*			**T**: NO_x
1.A.5.b i	Land-based military transport and machinery	T1, T2	NS	CS, D	-
1.A.5.b ii	Military Aviation	T1, T2	NS	CS, D	-
1.A.5.b iii	Military Navigation	T1, T2, T3	NS, M	CS, D, M	-

> For further information on sub-sector specific consumption data, emission factors and emissions as well as further information on emission trends, recalculations and planned improvements, please follow the links above.

Method

++ Activity data

Basically, all fuel deliveries to the military are included in the primary fuel delivery data provided by the National Energy Balances (NEB) (AGEB, 2019) [1)]. As the NEB does not provide specific data for military use, the following additional sources are used:

Military land-based vehicles and aviation:

For the years as of 1995, the official mineral-oil data of the Federal Republic of Germany (Amtliche Mineralöldaten der Bundesrepublik Deutschland), prepared by the Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (BAFA), are used (BAFA, 2019) [2)]. Provided in units of [1000 t], these amounts have to be converted into [TJ] on the basis of the relevant net calorific values given by [3)].

As the official mineral-oil data does not distinguish into fossil and biofuels but does provide amounts for inland deliveries of total diesel and gasoline fuels, no data on the consumption of biodiesel and bioethanol is available directly at the moment. Therefore, activity data for biofuels used in military vehicles are calculated by applying Germany's official annual biofuel shares to the named total deliveries (see also: info on EF).

As there is no consistent NEB data availabe for aviation gasoline, delivery data from [4)] is used.

Military navigation:

Primarilly, fuel deliveries to military navigation is included in the NEB data provided in NEB lines 6: 'International Deep-Sea Bunkers' and 64: 'Coastal and inland navigation' but cannot be derived directly. Therefore, starting with this submission, fuel use in military navigation is estimated within a specific model used for estimating emissions from German maritime activities (BSH, 2018) [5)].

Table 1: Sources for consumption data in 1.A.5.b

Mode of Military Transport	Specific AD included in:	Sources for specific data	Relevant years
Military ground vehicles and mobile machinery, military aviation	NEB line 67 - 'Commerce, Trade, Services and other Consumers'	Special evaluation 1990-1994 carried out by AGEB	1990 - 1994
		Official oil data, table 7j, column: 'An das Militär', [6)]	as of 1995
Military Navigation	NEB lines 6 - 'International Deep-Sea Bunkers' and 64 - 'Coastal and Inland Navigation'	AD estimated within [7)]	as of 1990

Table 2: Annual over-all fuel consumption in military vehicles and mobile equipment, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Diesel Oil	16,020	8,666	1,927	3,775	1,336	952	1,285	982	1,015	853	937	904	702	
Gasoline	21,508	9,800	7,477	6,857	4,862	4,696	4,175	4,092	3,695	3,342	3,009	2,502	2,341	
Biodiesel	0	0	0	83	87	62	84	57	61	45	41	33	27	
Biogasoline	0	0	0	47	188	192	185	175	161	145	131	107	105	
Jet Kerosene	38,385	16,143	9,862	2,200	3,286	4,114	1,171	2,049	3,060	3,726	3,845	1,507	1,025	
Aviation Gasoline	15	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Heavy Fuel Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Σ 1.A.5.b	75,928	34,615	19,267	12,961	9,758	10,017	6,900	7,356	7,991	8,111	7,963	5,052	4,200	

source: (BAFA, 2019): Amtliche Mineralöldaten für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Table 7j: “an das Militär” (“to the military”) and own estimates for blended biofuels

As the “consumption data” provided here originally represents fuel delivery data, some trends in “annual consumption” are influenced by stock-keeping activities. Here, a clear impact of storage effects can be observed for avgas, where a significantly high amount of 15 TJ was purchased in 2007 followed by zero or very small deliveries.

In addition, based upon the information available to the inventory compilers, no heavy fuel oil is used in national military navigation.

gallery size="small" : 1A5b_AD.PNG : 1A5b_AD_bio.png : 1A5b_AD_AvGas.PNG gallery

Table 3: Further break-down of annual military fuel consumption, in terajoules

	= 1990	= 1995	= 2000	= 2005	= 2010	= 2011	= 2012	= 2013	= 2014	= 2015	= 2016	= 2017	= 2018
< used in military ground transport													
~ Diesel Oil	> 15,037	> 8,001	> 1,364	> 3,366	> 990	> 622	> 972	> 681	> 683	> 580	> 578	> 415	> 279
~ Gasoline	> 21,508	> 9,800	> 7,477	> 6,857	> 4,862	> 4,696	> 4,175	> 4,092	> 3,695	> 3,342	> 3,009	> 2,502	> 2,341
~ Biodiesel	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 74	> 64	> 41	> 63	> 39	> 41	> 31	> 30	> 22	> 16
~ Biogasoline	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 47	> 188	> 192	> 185	> 175	> 161	> 145	> 131	> 107	> 105

< used in military aviation													
~ Jet Kerosene	> 38,385	> 16,143	> 9,862	> 2,200	> 3,286	> 4,114	> 1,171	> 2,049	> 3,060	> 3,726	> 3,845	> 1,507	> 1,025
~ Aviation Gasoline	> 15	> 6	> 1	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0
< used in military navigation													
~ Diesel Oil	> 983	> 665	> 563	> 410	> 347	> 330	> 313	> 302	> 332	> 273	> 359	> 489	> 423
~ Biodiesel	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 9	> 22	> 21	> 20	> 18	> 19	> 14	> 11	> 11	> 11
~ Heavy Fuel Oil	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0	> 0
= Σ 1.A.5.b	~ 75,928	~ 34,615	~ 19,267	~ 12,961	~ 9,758	~ 10,017	~ 6,900	~ 7,356	~ 7,991	~ 8,111	~ 7,963	~ 5,052	~ 4,200

source: (BAFA, 2019): Amtliche Mineralöldaten für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Table 7j: “an das Militär” (“to the military”); BSH, 2019 (for fuel consumption in military navigation) and own estimates for blended biofuels

++ Emission factors

> For further information on sub-sector specific emission factors, please refer to the sub-chapters on land-based], airborne] and naval] military activities.

+ Discussion of emission trends

> **NFR 1.A.5.b** is no key category.

Nonetheless, this NFR category shows interesting trends for emissions of **Lead (Pb)** from leaded gasoline (until 1997) and aviation gasoline:

Until 1997, lead emissions were dominated by the combustion of leaded gasoline in military ground-based vehicles. Therefore, the over-all trend for lead emissions from military vehicles and aircraft is driven mostly by the abolition of leaded gasoline in 1997. Towards this date, the amount of leaded gasoline decreased significantly. After 1997, the only source for lead from mobile fuel combustion is avgas used in military aircraft. As for avgas, the trend of consumption is more or less drecreasing steadily until 2005 but then shows a strong increase for 2006 and '07 (!), followed by no or very small deliveries. As mentioned above, there are no real consumption data available: AD is based on fuel deliveries to the military only. Thus, especially the trends for the use of aviation gasoline and the resulting emissions show this siginificant jumps in 2006 and 07. The party is aware of this issue and will try to solve it as soon as data allows. (see also: FAQ)

gallery size="medium" : 1A5b_EM_Pb.png gallery

The trend for **TSP** emissions reflects the impact of leaded gasoline at least for 1990 to 1997. For all other years, **particulate matter** emissions simply follow the trend in over-all fuel consumption.

gallery size="medium" : 1A5b_EM_PM.png gallery

Regarding **sulphur dioxide**, emssions not only reflect the trend of fuel consumption but also the

impact of fuel-sulphur legislation.

gallery size="medium" : 1A5b_EM_SO2.png gallery

For all other reported pollutants, due to the application of tier1 emission factors, emission trends reported for this sub-category only reflect the trend in fuel deliveries.

gallery size="medium" : 1A5b_EM_NOx.png gallery

+ Recalculations

Basically, all recalculations result from the implementation of military navigation which was not taken into account before.

Activity data: Here, fuels (diesel oil and biodiesel) used for military navigation have been revised slightly within the underlying BSH model. Furthermore, sthe net calorific values (NCVs) used for transforming the inland deliveries data for diesel oil, gasoline and jet kerosene provided in metric tonnes into terajoules have been revised slightly.

Table 4: Revised over-all activity data, in terajoules

	= **2015**	= **2016**	= **2017**
= **1.A.5.b TOTAL**			
~ Submission 2020	> 8,111	> 7,963	> 5,052
~ Submission 2019	> 8,115	> 7,968	> 5,162
~ absolute change	> -3,6	> -4,5	> -110
~ relative change	> -0.04%	> -0.06%	> -2.13%
= **Diesel Oil**			
~ Submission 2020	> 853	> 937	> 904
~ Submission 2019	> 856	> 941	> 910
~ absolute change	> -3,5	> -4,1	> -5,8
~ relative change	> -0.41%	> -0.44%	> -0.63%
= **Biodiesel**			
~ Submission 2020	> 44.9	> 41.3	> 32.9
~ Submission 2019	> 45.1	> 41.5	> 33.6
~ absolute change	> -0.19	> -0.22	> -0.71
~ relative change	> -0.4%	> -0.5%	> -2.1%
= **Gasoline**			
~ Submission 2020	> 3,342	> 3,009	> 2,502
~ Submission 2019	> 3,342	> 3,009	> 2,605
~ absolute change	> 0.08	> 0.07	> -103
~ relative change	> 0.002%	> 0.002%	> -4.0%
= **Biogasoline**			
~ Submission 2020	> 145	> 131	> 107
~ Submission 2019	> 145	> 131	> 106
~ absolute change	> 0.003	> 0.003	> 0.13
~ relative change	> 0.002%	> 0.002%	> 0.12%
= **Jet Kerosene**			
~ Submission 2020	> 3,726	> 3,845	> 1,507

~ Submission 2019	> 3,726	> 3,845	> 1,507
~ absolute change	> 0.00	> -0.27	> -0.11
~ relative change	> 0.00%	> -0.01%	> -0.01%
= **Avgas**			
~ Submission 2020	> 0.00	> 0.13	> 0.00
~ Submission 2019	> 0.00	> 0.13	> 0.00
~ absolute change	> 0.00	> 0.00	> 0.00
~ relative change	> 0.00%	> 0.00%	> 0.00%

For information on revised or newly implemented **emission factors**, please refer to the three sub-chapters linked above.

> For specific **information on recalculated emission estimates for Base Year and 2017**, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables following chapter 8.1 - Recalculations].

+ Uncertainties

Uncertainty estimates for **activity data** of mobile sources derive from research project FKZ 360 16 023: “Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland” by Knörr et al. (2009) [8].

Uncertainty estimates for **emission factors** were compiled during the PAREST research project. Here, the final report has not yet been published.

+ Planned improvements

> For further information on sub-sector specific planned improvements, please follow the links above.

---

bibliography : 1 : AGEB, 2019: Working Group on Energy Balances (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen (Hrsg.), AGEB): Energiebilanz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: https://ag-energiebilanzen.de/7-0-Bilanzen-1990-2018.html, (Aufruf: 29.11.2019), Köln & Berlin, 2019. : 2 : BAFA, 2019: Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (Bundesamt für Wirtschaft und Ausfuhrkontrolle, BAFA): Amtliche Mineralöldaten für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: https://www.bafa.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Energie/Mineraloel/moel_amtliche_daten_2017_dezember.html, Eschborn, 2019. : 3 : BSH, 2019: Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, BSH): Pflege und Aktualisierung des Modells zur Berechnung der Energieeinsätze und Emissionen des von deutschen Häfen ausgehenden Seeverkehrs, im Auftrag des Umweltbundesamtes, Hamburg, 2019. : 4 : Knörr et al. (2009): Knörr, W., Heldstab, J., & Kasser, F.: Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland; final report; URL: https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/461/publikationen/3937.pdf, FKZ 360 16 023, Heidelberg & Zürich, 2009. : 5 : EMEP/EEA, 2019: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019, Copenhagen, 2019 bibliography

---

[1] (bibcite 1)
[2] (bibcite 2)
[3] (bibcite 1)
[4] (bibcite 2)

[5)] (bibcite 3)
[6)] (bibcite 2)
[7)] (bibcite 3)
[8)] (bibcite 4)