

1.A.4.c ii - Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-Road Vehicles and Other Machinery

Short description

Under sub-category 1.A.4.c ii - Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road Vehicles and other Machinery fuel combustion activities and resulting emissions from off-road vehicles and machinery used in agriculture and forestry are reported seperately.



NFR Code	Source category	Method	AD	EF	Key Category Analysis
1.A.4.c ii	Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-Road Vehicles and Other Machinery	T1, T2	NS, M	CS, D, M	L & T: BC / L: NO _x , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀
including mobile sources sub-categories					
1.A.4.c ii (a)	Off-road Vehicles and Other Machinery: Agriculture	T1, T2	NS, M	CS, D, M	-
1.A.4.c ii (b)	Off-road Vehicles and Other Machinery: Forestry	T1, T2	NS, M	CS, D, M	-

Methodology

Activity data

Sector-specific consumption data is included in the primary fuel-delivery data are available from NEB line 67: 'Commercial, trade, services and other consumers' (AGEB, 2020) ¹⁾.



Table 1: Sources for primary fuel-delivery data

through 1994	AGEB - National Energy Balance, line 79: 'Haushalte und Kleinverbraucher insgesamt'
as of 1995	AGEB - National Energy Balance, line 67: 'Gewerbe, Handel, Dienstleistungen u. übrige Verbraucher'

Following the deduction of energy inputs for military vehicles as provided in (BAFA, 2020) ²⁾, the remaining amounts of gasoline and diesel oil are apportioned onto off-road construction vehicles (NFR 1.A.2.g vii) and commercial/institutional

used off-road vehicles (1.A.4.a ii) as well as agriculture and forestry (NFR 1.A.4.c ii) based upon annual shares derived from TREMOD MM (Knörr et al. (2020b)) ³⁾ (cf. [NFR 1.A.4 - mobile J](#)).

To provide more specific information on mobile sources in agriculture and forestry, the inventory compiler further divides NFR sector 1.A.4.c ii into **1.A.4.c ii (i) - NRMM in agriculture** in and **1.A.4.c ii (ii) - NRMM in forestry**.


Table 2: Annual percentual contribution of NFR 1.A.4.c ii to the primary fuel delivery data provided in NEB line 67


	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Diesel fuels														
1.A.4.c ii (i)	47,6%	45,6%	43,9%	46,2%	47,5%	47,2%	47,3%	48,0%	47,8%	48,3%	48,5%	48,5%	48,4%	48,4%
1.A.4.c ii (ii)	2,41%	1,36%	2,16%	2,88%	2,92%	2,99%	2,77%	2,76%	2,81%	2,89%	2,72%	2,79%	3,35%	3,54%
Gasoline fuels ¹														
1.A.4.c ii (ii)	68,5%	40,3%	44,9%	41,4%	35,5%	35,6%	33,1%	32,9%	33,1%	33,3%	31,6%	31,9%	35,8%	36,8%

source: own estimations based on Knörr et al. (2020b) ⁴⁾ ¹ no gasoline used in agricultural vehicles and mobile machinery

Table 3: Annual mobile fuel consumption in agriculture and forestry, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Diesel oil	55.958	45.954	43.747	40.309	44.606	45.576	44.609	47.090	48.977	51.836	53.891	55.585	52.402	53.216
Biodiesel	3.093	3.004	3.325	3.022	1.543	1.404	392	383	412	1.660	1.575	1.588	1.741	1.739
Gasoline	0	0	0	2.576	3.420	3.180	3.148	2.793	3.010	2.835	2.857	2.967	3.046	3.030
Biogasoline	0	0	0	21	60	58	17	16	18	72	68	67	78	75
Σ 1.A.4.c ii	59.051	48.958	47.071	45.928	49.629	50.218	48.166	50.282	52.417	56.404	58.392	60.207	57.267	58.060





Emission factors

The emission factors applied here are of rather different quality:

Basically, for all **main pollutants, carbon monoxide** and **particulate matter**, annual IEF modelled within TREMOD MM ⁵⁾ are used, representing the sector's vehicle-fleet composition, the development of mitigation technologies and the effect of fuel-quality legislation.

For Information on the country-specific implied emission factors applied to mobile machinery in agriculture and forestry, please refer to the respective sub-chapters linked above.

For information on the **emission factors for heavy-metal and POP exhaust emissions**, please refer to [Appendix 2.3 - Heavy Metal \(HM\) exhaust emissions from mobile sources](#)] and [Appendix 2.4 - Persistent Organic Pollutant \(POP\) exhaust emissions from mobile sources \]](#).

Discussion of emission trends


Table: Outcome of Key Catgegory Analysis

for:
by:


NFR 1.A.4.c ii is key source for emissions of **NO_x**, **BC**, **PM_{2.5}** and **PM₁₀**.

Unregulated pollutants (Ammonia, HMs, POPs, ...)

For all unregulated pollutants, emission trends directly follow the trend in fuel consumption.



In contrast to the main pollutants, all heavy-metal and POP emissions are calculated based on default EF from ⁶⁾.



Here, exemplary for cadmium, the extreme steps in emission estimates result from two effects:

(i) the annual amounts of gasoline fuels allocated to NFR 1.A.4.c ii depend on the amounts delivered to the military also

covered in NEB line 67. (see [superordinate chapter](#)] for further information). This approach results in strong declines in gasoline consumption after 2007 and 2011 followed by an increase after 2014.




Table 4: Development of gasoline consumption in NFR 1.A.4.c ii, in terajoules

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Gasoline	1.543	1.404	392	383	412	1.660	1.575	1.588	1.741	1.739
Biogasoline	60	58	17	16	18	72	68	67	78	75

(ii) All gasoline fuels allocated to NFR 1.A.4.c ii are used in 2-stroke-engines in forestry equipment. As the 2-stroke fuel also includes lubricant oil, the fuel's heavy metal content is significantly higher than that of 4-stroke gasoline (or diesel fuels). (see [Appendix 2.3](#)] for more information on the reporting of HM emissions.)

Table 5: Tier1 default emission factors applied to NRMM, in g/TJ

	Pb	Cd	Hg	As	Cr	Cu	Ni	Se	Zn
Diesel oil	0.012	0.001	0.123	0.002	0.198	0.133	0.005	0.002	0.419
Biodiesel¹	0.013	0.001	0.142	0.003	0.228	0.153	0.005	0.003	0.483
Gasoline fuels - 4-stroke	0.037	0.005	0.200	0.007	0.145	0.103	0.053	0.005	0.758
Gasoline fuels - 2-stroke²	0.051	2.10	0.196	0.007	8.96	357	14.7	2.09	208
LPG (1.A.4.a ii only)	NE								

¹ values differ from EFs applied for fossil diesel oil to take into account the specific NCV of biodiesel ² including the HM of 1:50 lube oil mixed to the gasoline Hence, emission estimates reported for cadmium are significantly higher for years with higher gasoline use (in 2-stroke engines).

Regulated pollutants


For all regulated pollutants, emission trends follow not only the trend in fuel consumption but also reflect the impact of fuel-quality and exhaust-emission legislation.



Particulate matter & Black carbon

Over-all PM emissions are by far dominated by emissions from diesel oil combustion with the falling trend basically following the decline in fuel consumption between 2000 and 2005. Nonetheless, the decrease of the over-all emission trend was and still is amplified by the expanding use of particle filters especially to eliminate soot emissions.

Additional contributors such as the impact of TSP emissions from the use of leaded gasoline (until 1997) have no significant effect onto over-all emission estimates.



Recalculations

Revisions in **activity data** result from revised percental shares with respect to NEB line 67 as well as the implementation of primary activity data from the now finalised NEB 2018.

Table 6: Revised percental shares

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1.A.4.c ii (i) - diesel fuels													
Submission 2021	0,476	0,456	0,439	0,462	0,475	0,472	0,473	0,480	0,478	0,483	0,485	0,485	0,484
Submission 2020	0,484	0,467	0,468	0,501	0,509	0,506	0,506	0,505	0,503	0,507	0,510	0,511	0,512
absolute change	-0,008	-0,011	-0,030	-0,038	-0,034	-0,034	-0,033	-0,024	-0,025	-0,025	-0,025	-0,025	-0,028
relative change	-1,62%	-2,30%	-6,33%	-7,66%	-6,75%	-6,65%	-6,47%	-4,80%	-4,98%	-4,87%	-5,00%	-4,97%	-5,52%
1.A.4.c ii (ii) - diesel fuels													
Submission 2021	0,024	0,014	0,022	0,029	0,029	0,030	0,028	0,028	0,028	0,029	0,027	0,028	0,034
Submission 2020	0,024	0,013	0,020	0,027	0,027	0,027	0,025	0,026	0,026	0,027	0,025	0,026	0,026
absolute change	0,000	0,000	0,001	0,002	0,002	0,003	0,002	0,002	0,002	0,002	0,002	0,002	0,008
relative change	1,08%	1,62%	5,38%	8,71%	9,16%	9,27%	9,36%	7,86%	8,29%	8,56%	8,54%	8,63%	29,4%
1.A.4.c ii (ii) - gasoline fuels													
Submission 2021	0,685	0,403	0,449	0,414	0,355	0,356	0,331	0,329	0,331	0,333	0,316	0,319	0,358
Submission 2020	0,685	0,403	0,449	0,416	0,360	0,362	0,337	0,335	0,338	0,341	0,324	0,327	0,327
absolute change	0,000	0,000	0,000	-0,002	-0,005	-0,005	-0,006	-0,006	-0,007	-0,008	-0,008	-0,009	0,031
relative change	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	-0,46%	-1,29%	-1,46%	-1,70%	-1,88%	-2,06%	-2,23%	-2,48%	-2,66%	9,34%

Table 7: Resulting revision of annual activity data, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Diesel fuels													
Submission 2021	55.958	45.954	43.747	42.885	48.026	48.756	47.757	49.883	51.987	54.671	56.749	58.552	55.448
Submission 2020	56.808	46.985	46.460	44.126	50.499	51.573	50.396	51.992	54.297	57.036	59.309	61.176	57.312
absolute change	-849	-1.031	-2.713	-1.241	-2.473	-2.816	-2.640	-2.110	-2.310	-2.364	-2.560	-2.624	-1.864
relative change	-1,50%	-2,19%	-5,84%	-2,81%	-4,90%	-5,46%	-5,24%	-4,06%	-4,25%	-4,15%	-4,32%	-4,29%	-3,25%
Gasoline fuels													
Submission 2021	3.093	3.004	3.325	3.043	1.603	1.462	409	400	430	1.732	1.644	1.655	1.819
Submission 2020	3.093	3.004	3.325	3.057	1.624	1.484	416	407	439	1.772	1.685	1.700	1.663
absolute change	0,00	0,00	0,00	-13,96	-20,98	-21,66	-7,06	-7,67	-9,04	-39,59	-41,76	-45,14	155,38
relative change	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	-0,46%	-1,29%	-1,46%	-1,70%	-1,88%	-2,06%	-2,23%	-2,48%	-2,66%	9,34%
Over-all fuel consumption													
Submission 2021	59.051	48.958	47.071	45.928	49.629	50.218	48.166	50.282	52.417	56.404	58.392	60.207	57.267
Submission 2020	59.900	49.989	49.784	47.183	52.123	53.056	50.813	52.399	54.736	58.808	60.994	62.876	58.975
absolute change	-849	-1.031	-2.713	-1.255	-2.494	-2.838	-2.647	-2.117	-2.319	-2.404	-2.602	-2.669	-1.708
relative change	-1,42%	-2,06%	-5,45%	-2,66%	-4,78%	-5,35%	-5,21%	-4,04%	-4,24%	-4,09%	-4,27%	-4,24%	-2,90%

As, in contrast, all **emission factors** remain unrevised compared to last year's submission, emission estimates for the years as of 2015 change in accordance with the underlying activity data.



For pollutant-specific information on recalculated emission estimates for Base Year and 2018, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables following [chapter 8.1 - Recalculations](#).

Uncertainties

Uncertainty estimates for **activity data** of mobile sources derive from research project FKZ 360 16 023: "Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland" by (Knörr et al. (2009)) ⁷⁾.

Uncertainty estimates for **emission factors** were compiled during the PAREST research project. Here, the final report has not yet been published.

Planned improvements

Besides a **routine revision of TREMOD MM**, no specific improvements are planned.

FAQs

Why are similar EF applied for estimating exhaust heavy metal emissions from both fossil and biofuels?

The EF provided in ⁸⁾ represent summatory values for (i) the fuel's and (ii) the lubricant's heavy-metal content as well as (iii) engine wear. Here, there might be no heavy metal contained the biofuels. But since the specific shares of (i), (ii) and (iii) cannot be separated, and since the contributions of lubricant and engine wear might be dominant, the same emission factors are applied to biodiesel and bioethanol.

⁷⁾ AGEb, 2020: Working Group on Energy Balances (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen (Hrsg.), AGEb): Energiebilanz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: <http://www.ag-energiebilanzen.de/7-0-Bilanzen-1990-2018.html>, (Aufruf: 29.11.2020), Köln & Berlin, 2020.

⁸⁾ BAFA, 2020: Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (Bundesamt für Wirtschaft und Ausfuhrkontrolle, BAFA): Amtliche Mineralöl-daten für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: https://www.bafa.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Energie/Mineraloel/moel_amtliche_daten_2018_dezember.html, Eschborn,

2020.

^{3), 4), 5)} Knörr et al. (2020b): Knörr, W., Heidt, C., Gores, S., & Bergk, F.: ifeu Institute for Energy and Environmental Research (Institut für Energie- und Umweltforschung Heidelberg gGmbH, ifeu): Aktualisierung des Modells TREMOD-Mobile Machinery (TREMOD MM) 2020, Heidelberg, 2020.

^{6), 8)} EMEP/EEA, 2019: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook – 2019, Copenhagen, 2019.

⁷⁾ Knörr et al. (2009): Knörr, W., Heldstab, J., & Kasser, F.: Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland; final report; URL: <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/461/publikationen/3937.pdf>, FKZ 360 16 023, Heidelberg & Zürich, 2009.