

# 1.A.4.c ii - Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-Road Vehicles and Other Machinery

## Short description

Under sub-category *1.A.4.c ii - Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road Vehicles and other Machinery* fuel combustion activities and resulting emissions from off-road vehicles and machinery used in agriculture and forestry are reported seperately.



NFR Code	Source category	Method	AD	EF	Key Category Analysis
1.A.4.c ii	Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-Road Vehicles and Other Machinery	T1, T2	NS, M	CS, D, M	<b>L &amp; T:</b> BC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> <b>/ L:</b> NO <sub>x</sub>
including mobile sources sub-categories					
1.A.4.c ii (a)	<a href="#">Off-road Vehicles and Other Machinery: Agriculture</a>	T1, T2	NS, M	CS, D, M	-
1.A.4.c ii (b)	<a href="#">Off-road Vehicles and Other Machinery: Forestry</a>	T1, T2	NS, M	CS, D, M	-

## Methodology

### Activity data

Sector-specific consumption data is included in the primary fuel-delivery data are available from NEB line 67: 'Commercial, trade, services and other consumers' (AGEB, 2020) <sup>1)</sup>.




Table 1: Sources for primary fuel-delivery data

through 1994	AGEB - National Energy Balance, line 79: 'Haushalte und Kleinverbraucher insgesamt'
as of 1995	AGEB - National Energy Balance, line 67: 'Gewerbe, Handel, Dienstleistungen u. übrige Verbraucher'

Following the deduction of energy inputs for military vehicles as provided in (BAFA, 2020) <sup>2)</sup>, the remaining amounts of gasoline and diesel oil are apportioned onto off-road construction vehicles (NFR 1.A.2.g vii) and commercial/institutional

used off-road vehicles (1.A.4.a ii) as well as agriculture and forestry (NFR 1.A.4.c ii) based upon annual shares derived from TREMOD MM (Knörr et al. (2020b)) <sup>3)</sup> (cf. superordinate chapter).

To provide more specific information on mobile sources in agriculture and forestry, the inventory compiler further divides NFR sector 1.A.4.c ii into **1.A.4.c ii (i) - NRMM in agriculture** in and **1.A.4.c ii (ii) - NRMM in forestry**.


Table 2: Annual percentual contribution of NFR 1.A.4.c ii to the primary fuel delivery data provided in NEB line 67


	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
<b>Diesel fuels</b>															
<b>1.A.4.c ii (i)</b>	47.6%	45.6%	43.9%	46.2%	47.5%	47.2%	47.3%	48.0%	47.8%	48.3%	48.5%	48.5%	48.4%	48.4%	48.3%
<b>1.A.4.c ii (ii)</b>	2.41%	1.36%	2.16%	2.88%	2.92%	2.99%	2.77%	2.76%	2.81%	2.89%	2.72%	2.79%	3.35%	3.54%	4.16%
<b>Gasoline fuels <sup>1</sup></b>															
<b>1.A.4.c ii (ii)</b>	68.5%	40.3%	44.9%	41.4%	35.5%	35.6%	33.1%	32.9%	33.1%	33.3%	31.6%	31.9%	35.8%	36.8%	40.4%

source: own estimations based on Knörr et al. (2020b) <sup>4)</sup> <sup>1</sup> no gasoline used in agricultural vehicles and mobile machinery

Table 3: Annual mobile fuel consumption in agriculture and forestry, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
<b>Diesel oil</b>	55,958	45,954	43,747	40,309	44,606	45,576	44,609	47,090	48,977	51,836	53,891	55,585	52,399	53,310	54,791
<b>Biodiesel</b>	3,093	3,004	3,325	3,029	1,568	1,430	415	405	432	1,679	1,591	1,601	1,795	1,707	2,131
<b>Gasoline</b>	0	0	0	2,576	3,420	3,180	3,148	2,793	3,010	2,835	2,857	2,967	3,046	3,036	4,551
<b>Biogasoline</b>	0	0	0	20.8	60.6	58.6	18.4	17.3	18.8	72.8	69.1	67.5	80.7	73.6	97.3
<b>Σ 1.A.4.c ii</b>	<b>59,051</b>	<b>48,958</b>	<b>47,071</b>	<b>45,936</b>	<b>49,655</b>	<b>50,245</b>	<b>48,190</b>	<b>50,304</b>	<b>52,438</b>	<b>56,423</b>	<b>58,409</b>	<b>60,220</b>	<b>57,320</b>	<b>58,128</b>	<b>61,571</b>





## Emission factors

The emission factors applied here are of rather different quality:

Basically, for all **main pollutants, carbon monoxide** and **particulate matter**, annual IEF modelled within TREMOD MM <sup>5)</sup> are used, representing the sector's vehicle-fleet composition, the development of mitigation technologies and the effect of fuel-quality legislation.

For Information on the country-specific implied emission factors applied to mobile machinery in agriculture and forestry, please refer to the respective sub-chapters linked above.

For information on the **emission factors for heavy-metal and POP exhaust emissions**, please refer to Appendix 2.3 - Heavy Metal (HM) exhaust emissions from mobile sources and Appendix 2.4 - Persistent Organic Pollutant (POP) exhaust emissions from mobile sources.

## Discussion of emission trends


Table: Outcome of Key Category Analysis

for:	<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>PM<sub>2.5</sub></b>	<b>PM<sub>10</sub></b>	<b>BC</b>
by:	Level	L	L	Level & Trend


**NFR 1.A.4.c ii** is key source for emissions of **NO<sub>x</sub>**, **BC**, **PM<sub>2.5</sub>** and **PM<sub>10</sub>**.

## Unregulated pollutants (Ammonia, HMs, POPs, ...)

For all unregulated pollutants, emission trends directly follow the trend in fuel consumption.



In contrast to the main pollutants, all heavy-metal and POP emissions are calculated based on default EF from <sup>6)</sup>.



Here, exemplary for cadmium, the extreme steps in emission estimates result from two effects:

(i) the annual amounts of gasoline fuels allocated to NFR 1.A.4.c ii depend on the amounts delivered to the military also

covered in NEB line 67. (see superordinate chapter for further information). This approach results in strong declines in gasoline consumption after 2007 and 2011 followed by an increase after 2014.




Table 4: Development of gasoline consumption in NFR 1.A.4.c ii, in terajoules

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Gasoline</b>	1.543	1.404	392	383	412	1.660	1.575	1.588	1.741	1.739
<b>Biogasoline</b>	60	58	17	16	18	72	68	67	78	75

(ii) All gasoline fuels allocated to NFR 1.A.4.c ii are used in 2-stroke-engines in forestry equipment. As the 2-stroke fuel also includes lubricant oil, the fuel's heavy metal content is significantly higher than that of 4-stroke gasoline (or diesel fuels). (see Appendix 2.3 for more information on the reporting of HM emissions.)

Table 5: Tier1 default emission factors applied to NRMM, in g/TJ

	Pb	Cd	Hg	As	Cr	Cu	Ni	Se	Zn
<b>Diesel oil</b>	0.012	0.001	0.123	0.002	0.198	0.133	0.005	0.002	0.419
<b>Biodiesel<sup>1</sup></b>	0.013	0.001	0.142	0.003	0.228	0.153	0.005	0.003	0.483
<b>Gasoline fuels - 4-stroke</b>	0.037	0.005	0.200	0.007	0.145	0.103	0.053	0.005	0.758
<b>Gasoline fuels - 2-stroke<sup>2</sup></b>	0.051	2.10	0.196	0.007	8.96	357	14.7	2.09	208
<b>LPG (1.A.4.a ii only)</b>	NE								

<sup>1</sup> values differ from EFs applied for fossil diesel oil to take into account the specific NCV of biodiesel <sup>2</sup> including the HM of 1:50 lube oil mixed to the gasoline Hence, emission estimates reported for cadmium are significantly higher for years with higher gasoline use (in 2-stroke engines).

## Regulated pollutants


For all regulated pollutants, emission trends follow not only the trend in fuel consumption but also reflect the impact of fuel-quality and exhaust-emission legislation.



### Particulate matter & Black carbon

Over-all PM emissions are by far dominated by emissions from diesel oil combustion with the falling trend basically following the decline in fuel consumption between 2000 and 2005. Nonetheless, the decrease of the over-all emission trend was and still is amplified by the expanding use of particle filters especially to eliminate soot emissions.

Additional contributors such as the impact of TSP emissions from the use of leaded gasoline (until 1997) have no significant effect onto over-all emission estimates.



## Recalculations

Revisions in **activity data** result from revised activity data for gasoline used in military vehicles (entire timeseries; see NFR 1.A.5.b) as well as the implementation of primary activity data from the now finalised NEB 2019.

Table 6: Revision of annual activity data, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>DIESEL FUELS</b>																		
Submission 2022	55,958	45,954	43,747	42,885	44,476	46,614	45,687	48,092	48,026	48,756	47,757	49,883	51,987	54,671	56,749	58,552	55,445	56,347
Submission 2021	55,958	45,954	43,747	42,885	44,476	46,614	45,687	48,092	48,026	48,756	47,757	49,883	51,987	54,671	56,749	58,552	55,448	56,246
absolute change	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	-0,07	-3,11	101,05
relative change	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	-0,01%	0,18%
<b>GASOLINE FUELS</b>																		
Submission 2022	3,093	3,004	3,325	3,050	3,104	3,522	2,799	1,552	1,629	1,488	433	422	451	1,752	1,660	1,668	1,875	1,781
Submission 2021	3,093	3,004	3,325	3,043	3,090	3,511	2,786	1,534	1,603	1,462	409	400	430	1,732	1,644	1,655	1,819	1,814
absolute change	0,00	0,00	0,00	7,57	14,2	11,8	13,8	18,2	25,9	26,6	23,8	22,4	20,6	19,3	16,5	13,4	56,5	-33,4
relative change	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,25%	0,46%	0,34%	0,50%	1,19%	1,62%	1,82%	5,81%	5,60%	4,79%	1,11%	1,00%	0,81%	3,11%	-1,84%
<b>OVER-ALL FUEL CONSUMPTION</b>																		
Submission 2022	59,051	48,958	47,071	45,936	47,580	50,136	48,486	49,644	49,655	50,245	48,190	50,304	52,438	56,423	58,409	60,220	57,320	58,128
Submission 2021	59,051	48,958	47,071	45,928	47,565	50,124	48,472	49,626	49,629	50,218	48,166	50,282	52,417	56,404	58,392	60,207	57,267	58,060
absolute change	0,00	0,00	0,00	7,57	14,2	11,8	13,8	18,2	25,9	26,6	23,8	22,4	20,6	19,3	16,5	13,3	53,4	67,7

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>relative change</b>	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,02%	0,03%	0,02%	0,03%	0,04%	0,05%	0,05%	0,05%	0,04%	0,04%	0,034%	0,028%	0,02%	0,09%	0,12%

In contrast, all **emission factors** remain unrevised compared to last year's submission.



For pollutant-specific information on recalculated emission estimates for Base Year and 2019, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables following [chapter 8.1 - Recalculations](#).

## Uncertainties

Uncertainty estimates for **activity data** of mobile sources derive from research project FKZ 360 16 023: "Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland" by (Knörr et al. (2009)) <sup>7)</sup>.

Uncertainty estimates for **emission factors** were compiled during the PAREST research project. Here, the final report has not yet been published.

## Planned improvements

Besides a **routine revision of TREMOD MM**, no specific improvements are planned.

## FAQs

### ***Why are similar EF applied for estimating exhaust heavy metal emissions from both fossil and biofuels?***

The EF provided in <sup>8)</sup> represent summatory values for (i) the fuel's and (ii) the lubricant's heavy-metal content as well as (iii) engine wear. Here, there might be no heavy metal contained the biofuels. But since the specific shares of (i), (ii) and (iii) cannot be separated, and since the contributions of lubricant and engine wear might be dominant, the same emission factors are applied to biodiesel and bioethanol.

<sup>6), 8)</sup> EMEP/EEA, 2019: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook – 2019, Copenhagen, 2019.

<sup>7)</sup> Knörr et al. (2009): Knörr, W., Heldstab, J., & Kasser, F.: Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland; final report; URL: <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/461/publikationen/3937.pdf>, FKZ 360 16 023, Heidelberg & Zürich, 2009.