

1.A.3.a - Transport: Civil Aviation

Short description

NFR-Code	Name of Category	Method	AD	EF	Key Category Analysis
1.A.3.a	Civil Aviation	see sub-category details			
consisting of / including source categories					
LTO-range: Included in National Totals					
1.A.3.a i (i)	International Civil Aviation - LTO	see sub-category details			
1.A.3.a ii (i)	Domestic Civil Aviation - LTO	see sub-category details			
Cruise phase: Not included in National Totals					
1.A.3.a i (ii)	International Civil Aviation - Cruise	see sub-category details			
1.A.3.a ii (ii)	Domestic Civil Aviation - Cruise	see sub-category details			

Air transports differ significantly from land and water transports with respect to emissions production. In air transports, fuels are burned under atmospheric conditions that a) differ markedly from those prevailing at ground level and b) can vary widely.



The main factors that influence the combustion process in this sector include atmospheric pressure, environmental temperature and humidity – all of which are factors that vary considerably with altitude.

In category 1.A.3.a - Civil Aviation the emissions from both national (domestic) and international civil aviation are reported with separate acquisition of flight phases LTO (Landing/Take-off: 0-3,000 feet) and Cruise (above 3,000 feet) where only emissions from LTO from both national and international flights have to be included in the national totals.

Emissions from military aircraft are not included in this category but are reported under [Mobile Combustion](#).

Country specifics: The use of aviation gasoline is assumed to take place within the LTO-range of domestic flights only (below 3,000 feet). This assumption is a compromise due to a lack of further information and data.

Methodology

NOTE: Data available from Eurocontrol via the European Environment Agency (EEA) is not being used for inventory compilation. Nonetheless, depending on its timeliness, it is taken into account for verification purposes.

Estimation of aircraft emissions has been carried out using a tier 3a approach, i.e. under consideration of the annual distances flown by different types of aircraft, deviated into domestic and international flights, also considering the different flight stages LTO cycle (Landing/Take-off cycle, i.e. aircraft movements below 3,000 feet or about 915 meters of altitude) and cruise.

Essential for emissions reporting is the separation of domestic and international air traffic. This happens using a so-called

split factor representing the ratio of fuel consumption for national flights and the over-all consumption.

For determination of this ratio, results from TREMOD AV (TRansport Emissions MODel AViation) have been used, based on the great circle distances flown by the different types of aircraft (Knörr et al. (2019c) & Gores (2019)) [1], [2]. Here, the ratio is calculated on the basis of statistics on numbers of national and international flights departing from German airports provided by the Federal Statistical Office (Statistisches Bundesamt).

For further dividing kerosene consumption onto flight stages LTO and cruise, again results calculated within the TREMOD AV data base based on data provided by the Federal Statistical Office have been used.

Emissions are being estimated by multiplying the kerosene consumption of the flight stage with specific emission factors (EF). Here, emissions of SO₂ and H₂O are independent from the method used, depending only on the quantity and qualities of the fuel used. In contrast, emissions of NO_x, NMVOC, and CO strongly depend on the types of engines, flight elevations, flight stage, etc. and can be estimated more precisely with higher tiers. The emission factors for NO_x, CO, and NMVOC are therefore computed within TREMOD AV.

The aviation gasoline (avgas) used is not added to the annual kerosene consumptions but reported separately. As proposed in (IPCC, 2006a), emissions caused by the incineration of avgas are calculated using adapted EF and calorific values following a tier1 approach. Here, a split into national and international shares is not necessary as avgas is supposed to only being used in smaller aircraft operating on domestic routes and within the LTO range. - This conservative assumption leads to a slight overestimation of national emissions.¹

For further information on AD (entire time series), EF, key sources, and recalculations see sub-chapters linked above.

Activity Data

Emissions estimation is mainly based on consumption data for jet kerosene and aviation gasoline as provided in the national Energy Balances (AGEB, 2019) [3]. For very recent years with no AGEB data available (Normally the last year of the period reported.) data provided by the Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (BAFA) is being used.

Table 1: Sources for 1.A.3.a activity data

through 1994	AGEB - National Energy Balance, line 76: 'Luftverkehr'
from 1995	AGEB - National Energy Balance, line 63: 'Luftverkehr'
recent years / comparison	BAFA - Official oil data, table 7j: 'An die Luftfahrt' + 'An Sonstige'*

* to achieve consistency with AGEB data, amounts given for deliveries 'to Aviation' ('An die Luftfahrt') and 'to Others' ('An Sonstige') have to be added (see FAQs for more information)

Table 2: Total inland fuel deliveries to civil aviation 1990-2019, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Kerosene	193.329	233.437	297.258	343.827	361.233	374.428	378.346	367.234	361.751	346.115	370.558	374.670	361.868	361.651	389.024	425.140	437.203	434.490
Avgas	2.438	1.142	1.120	698	653	611	638	594	568	614	558	496	472	553	407	403	389	319
1.A.3.a	195.767	234.579	298.378	344.525	361.886	375.039	378.984	367.828	362.319	346.729	371.116	375.166	362.340	362.204	389.431	425.543	437.592	434.809

source: Working Group on Energy Balances (AGEB): National Energy Balances (AGEB, 2019) [3]

For the present purposes, kerosene-consumption figures from NEB and BAFA statistics have to be broken down by national (= domestic) and international flights: Here, the split has been calculated on the basis of statistics on numbers of national and international flights departing from German airports provided by the Federal Statistical Office (Statistisches Bundesamt) within TREMOD AV [1].

Table 3: Ratios for calculating the shares of fuels used in 1.A.3.a ii - Domestic and 1.A.3.a i - International Civil Aviation, in %

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
1.A.3.a ii - Civil domestic aviation																		
Kerosene	16,1	12,1	11,8	9,67	9,45	9,30	9,13	9,00	8,59	8,21	7,88	7,31	7,28	7,34	7,17	6,82	6,73	6,90
Avgas	79,9	84,0	82,6	83,8	83,2	83,6	83,8	84,0	83,1	86,6	86,6	87,4	88,5	86,7	93,1	92,9	93,6	94,7
1.A.3.a i - Civil international aviation																		
Kerosene	83,9	87,9	88,2	90,3	90,5	90,7	90,9	91,0	91,4	91,8	92,1	92,7	92,7	92,7	92,8	93,2	93,3	93,1
Avgas	20,1	16,0	17,4	16,2	16,8	16,4	16,2	16,0	16,9	13,4	13,4	12,6	11,5	13,3	6,90	7,12	6,42	5,35

Table 4: Resulting annual shares of jet kerosene and avgas used in 1.A.3.a ii - Domestic and 1.A.3.a i - International Civil Aviation, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
1.A.3.a ii - Civil domestic aviation																		
Kerosene	31.070	28.240	35.112	33.258	34.139	34.830	34.533	33.069	31.092	28.421	29.197	27.396	26.335	26.554	27.911	29.003	29.429	29.991
Avgas	1.948	960	925	585	543	511	534	499	472	532	483	433	418	479	379	374	364	302
1.A.3.a i - Civil international aviation																		
Kerosene	162.259	205.197	262.146	310.569	327.094	339.598	343.813	334.165	330.659	317.694	341.361	347.274	335.533	335.097	361.113	396.137	407.774	404.499
Avgas	490	182	195	113	110	100	104	95	96	82	75	63	54	74	28	29	25	17
1.A.3.a - OVER-ALL																		
Kerosene	193.329	233.437	297.258	343.827	361.233	374.428	378.346	367.234	361.751	346.115	370.558	374.670	361.868	361.651	389.024	425.140	437.203	434.490
Avgas	2.438	1.142	1.120	698	653	611	638	594	568	614	558	496	472	553	407	403	389	319

The deviation of the kerosene consumed onto the two flight stages LTO and cruise again has been carried based on TREMOD AV estimations allowing the export of kerosene consumption during LTO for both domestic and international flights.

Table 5: Annual shares of LTO phase in domestic and international civil aviation, in %

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
1.A.3.a i	8,17	7,80	8,19	8,00	7,97	7,95	7,91	7,86	7,90	7,81	7,92	7,98	7,98	8,09	8,11	8,13	8,18	8,15
1.A.3.a ii	30,2	29,4	27,9	27,6	27,5	27,3	27,3	27,3	27,6	27,7	28,0	27,9	27,7	27,7	28,1	28,3	28,4	28,1

source: number of domestic and international flights as provided by the Federal Statistical Office (Destatis, 2019), compiled and computed within [1] and [2] a assumption: all aircraft using aviation gasoline are operated within the LTO-range below 3,000 feet and only for domestic flights

Cruise consumption is then calculated as the difference between Total Consumption minus LTO Consumption.

Emission factors

Kerosene

Emissions have been calculated for each flight phase, based on the respective emission factors. Therefore, the EF used have been taken from a wide range of different sources. In contrast to earlier submissions, the emissions of NO_x, CO and HC are based on aircraft-specific EF deposited within TREMOD AV. With this very detailed estimations average EF are being formed which are then used for emissions reporting.

The EF provided with the current submission represent annual average EF for the entire fleet, calculated as implied EF from the emissions computed within TREMOD AV and therefore differ from the values used in the past.

Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) emissions depend directly on the kerosene's sulphur content which varies regionally as well as seasonally. The EF used by Eurocontrol of 0.84 kg SO₂/t kerosene lies between the values used for German inventory for 1990 to 1994 (1.08 to 1.03 kg SO₂/t) and from 1995 (0.4 kg SO₂/t). In IPCC 2006b¹⁾ with 1kg SO₂/t kerosene value comes very close to the old inventory values provided, based on a sulfur content of 0.05 % of weight. Following current information of the expert committee for the standardization of mineral oil and fuels (Fachausschuss für Mineralöl-und Brennstoffnormung, FAM), the common value for sulphur content of kerosene in Germany is about 0.01% of weight, i.e. one fifth of the IPCC data. In IIR 2009, a sulfur content of 0.021 weight% have been used, based on measurements from 1998 (Döpelheuer (2002))²⁾.

As an EF decreasing due to improved production procedures and stricter critical levels seems plausible, for this report a constant decline between the annual values of 1.08 g SO₂/kg for 1990, 0.4 g for 1998 and 0.2 g for 2009 has been assumed. Thereby, an exhaustive conversion of the sulfur into sulfur dioxide is expected. - Due to the EF depending directly on the S content of the kerosene, one annual EF is used for both flight stages.

Nitrogen oxide (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrocarbons (HC) emissions were estimated using IEF calculated within TREMOD AV, based upon more specific (depending on type of aircraft, flight stage) EF mostly taken from the EMEP-EEA data base. For 2009, 40 % of over-all starts (about 70 % of total kilometres flown) had to be linked with adapted EF as it was not possible to directly or even indirectly (via similar types of aircraft) allocate the aircraft used here. Therefore, regression analysis had to be carried out, estimating EF via emission functions that calculate an EF for the respective type of engine depending on the particular take-off weight.

As a basis for these functions the EF of types of aircraft with given EF have been used (see: Knörr et al. (2018c))³⁾. From the

trend of the emissions calculated within TREMOD AV, annual average EF for the entire fleet have been formed, which have then been used for reporting. Hence, the EF differ widely from those used in earlier submissions.

Ammonia (NH₃) emissions were estimated using an EF of 0.173 g/kg kerosene for both flight stages (UBA, 2009).

The EFs for **non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC)** were calculated as the difference between the EF for over-all hydrocarbons (HC) and the EF for methane (CH₄).

Particulate Matter Within the IPCC EF data base, there are no default data provided for emissions of particulate matter (TSP, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}). Therefore, the EF for dust (**Total Suspended Particulate Matter – TSP**) are taken over from Corinair (2006), giving specific values for an average fleet and for the two flight stages in table 8.2: For national flights 0.7 kg TSP/LTO and 0.2 kg TSP/t kerosene and 0.15 kg TSP/LTO and 0.2 kg TSP/t kerosene for international flights. Following this table, a kerosene consumption per LTO cycle of 825 kg for national and 1,617 kg for international flights have been assumed and the EF for the LTO stage have been estimated.

The EF for **water vapor (H₂O)** provided by Eurocontrol (2004) is about 1,230g H₂O / kg kerosene, whereas in Corinair (2006) ⁴⁾ 1,237g H₂O/kg is assumed. Based on the stoichiometric assumptions mentioned above a EF(CO₂) of 1.24 kg H₂O/kg can be derived. To reduce the number of sources for EF, here, the Corinair value has been used for both flight stages and for both national and international flights.

As for **polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)**, tier1 EF from (EMEP/EEA, 2013) have been applied here. As the EMEP guidebook does not provide original EF for jet kerosene, values provided for gasoline in road transport have been used here as a proxy and will be replaced by more appropriate data as soon as this is available.

The conversion of EF representing emissions per kilo fuel combusted [kg pollutant/kg kerosene] into energy related EF [kg pollutant/TJ energy] has been carried out using a net calorific value of 43,000 kJ/kg.

+++ Aviation gasoline

For aviation gasoline (avgas) a deviation onto LTO and cruise is assumed to be unnecessary. Therefore, there are no such specific EF used here. As for kerosene, the EF for **NO_x**, **CO** and **HC** have been taken from the calculations carried out within TREMOD AV. Here, for calculating aircraft specific NO_x, CO, and HC emissions corresponding EF from the EMEP-EEA data base have been used that have then been divided by the annual avgas consumption to form annual average EF for emission reporting.

With respect to fuel characteristics, there are no big differences between avgas and gasoline used in passenger cars (PC). Therefore, specific **sulphur dioxide (SO₂)** emissions from PC gasoline can be carried forward to avgas. - Following the expert committee for the standardization of mineral oil and fuels (FAM), the critical value of sulfur content for gasoline sold at gas stations is 10 mg/kg, i.e. 0.001 % of weight - or one tenth of the kerosene value. Therefore, the EF(SO₂) used for avgas equals the EF used for kerosene reduced by 90 %.

There are different sorts of avgas sold with different **lead (Pb)** contents. As an exact annual ration of the sorts sold is not available, the most common type of avgas (AvGas 100 LL (Low Lead)) with a lead content of 0.56 g/l is set as an approximation. This value lies slightly below the value of 0.6 g/l as proposed in the EMEP Guidebook 2009. - For estimating lead emissions here the value provided for AvGas 100 LL has been converted into an EF of about 0.75 g lead/kg avgas using a density of 0.75 kg/l.

The **EF(TSP)** were calculated from the lead content of AvGas 100 LL by multiplication with a factor 1.6 as used for leaded gasoline in road transport in the TREMOD system.

For **NMVOC**, an EF from the Revised IPCC Guidelines 1996 (pages I 42 and 40) ^{5), 6)}, have been used.

All other EF are not available specifically for small aircraft and therefore have been equalized with the EF used for kerosene, national, cruise.

Table 6: EF₂₀₁₈ used for emission estimation from avgas use in aircraft, in g/kg

~ Pollutant	~ EF	~ Source or estimation info
NO _x	> 11.76	estimated within TREMOD AV
NMVOC	> 7.98	estimated within TREMOD AV from EF(HC) minus EF(CH ₄)
SO ₂	> 0.02	equals 1/10 of the EF used for kerosene, cruise/domestic/2008
CO	> 661	estimated within TREMOD AV
TSP	> 1.18	estimated from lead content AvGas 100 LL
Pb	> 0.75	estimated from lead content of AvGas 100 L

The conversion of the EF from [kg emission/kg avgas consumed] into [kg emission/TJ energy converted] has been carried out using a net calorific value of 44,300 kJ/kg.

NOTE: For the country-specific emission factors applied for particulate matter, no clear indication is available, whether or not condensables are included.

For information on the **emission factors for heavy-metal and POP exhaust emissions**, please refer to [Appendix 2.3 - Heavy Metal \(HM\) exhaust emissions from mobile sources](#) and [Appendix 2.4 - Persistent Organic Pollutant \(POP\) exhaust emissions from mobile sources](#)].

+ Recalculations

With the total kerosene inland deliveries remaining unchanged within the National Energy Balances, the domestic share of total kerosene consumption was revised based on revised fuel-consumption estimates for the LTO-cycle as derived from the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016 ⁷⁾.

Table 7: Revised percental shares of kerosene used for domestic flights, in %

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
JET KEROSENE																	
Submission 2021	16,1	12,1	11,8	9,67	9,45	9,30	9,13	9,00	8,59	8,21	7,88	7,31	7,28	7,34	7,17	6,82	6,73
Submission 2020	14,9	12,7	10,7	8,68	8,46	8,42	8,42	8,16	8,26	8,72	7,76	6,94	7,49	7,56	7,07	6,27	6,14
absolute change	1,17	-0,59	1,07	0,99	0,99	0,88	0,70	0,84	0,34	-0,50	0,12	0,37	-0,21	-0,22	0,10	0,56	0,59
relative change	7,88%	-4,62%	9,97%	11,5%	11,7%	10,4%	8,34%	10,3%	4,12%	-5,78%	1,53%	5,39%	-2,85%	-2,87%	1,48%	8,86%	9,67%
AVGAS																	
Submission 2021	79,9	84,0	82,6	83,8	83,2	83,6	83,8	84,0	83,1	86,6	86,6	87,4	88,5	86,7	93,1	92,9	93,6
Submission 2020	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
absolute change	-20,1	-16,0	-17,4	-16,2	-16,8	-16,4	-16,2	-16,0	-16,9	-13,4	-13,4	-12,6	-11,5	-13,3	-6,9	-7,1	-6,4
relative change	-20,1%	-16,0%	-17,4%	-16,2%	-16,8%	-16,4%	-16,2%	-16,0%	-16,9%	-13,4%	-13,4%	-12,6%	-11,5%	-13,3%	-6,90%	-7,12%	-6,42%

As a result, the amounts of fuel allocated to sub-categories of 1.A.3.a i - Civil international aviation and 1.A.3.a ii - Civil domestic aviation had to be revised accordingly.

Table 8: Revised amounts of fuel allocated to international (1.A.3.a i) and domestic (1.A.3.a ii) flights, in terajoules

	= 1990	= 1995	= 2000	= 2005	= 2006	= 2007	= 2008	= 2009	= 2010	= 2011	= 2012	= 2013	= 2014	= 2015	= 2016	= 2017
< 1.A.3.a i - Civil international aviation																
~ Submission 2020	> 164,528	> 203,828	> 265,329	> 313,986	> 330,657	> 342,893	> 346,472	> 337,252	> 331,888	> 315,949	> 341,802	> 348,674	> 334,761	> 334,312	> 361,520	> 398,498
~ Submission 2019	> 165,101	> 203,715	> 263,643	> 312,891	> 329,507	> 342,024	> 345,450	> 335,736	> 330,413	> 314,492	> 340,833	> 347,912	> 334,793	> 333,317	> 360,038	> 397,457
~ absolute change	> -573	> 113	> 1,686	> 1,096	> 1,150	> 870	> 1,022	> 1,516	> 1,475	> 1,457	> 968	> 763	> -32	> 995	> 1,481	> 1,041
~ relative change	> -0.35%	> 0.06%	> 0.64%	> 0.35%	> 0.35%	> 0.25%	> 0.30%	> 0.45%	> 0.45%	> 0.46%	> 0.28%	> 0.22%	> -0.01%	> 0.30%	> 0.41%	> 0.26%
< 1.A.3.a ii - Civil domestic aviation																
~ Submission 2020	> 31,239	> 30,751	> 33,049	> 30,539	> 31,229	> 32,146	> 32,512	> 30,576	> 30,431	> 30,780	> 29,314	> 26,492	> 27,579	> 27,892	> 27,911	> 27,045
~ Submission 2019	> 30,666	> 30,864	> 34,735	> 31,634	> 32,379	> 33,015	> 33,534	> 32,092	> 31,906	> 32,237	> 30,283	> 27,254	> 27,547	> 28,887	> 29,393	> 28,086
~ absolute change	> 573	> -113	> -1,686	> -1,096	> -1,150	> -870	> -1,022	> -1,516	> -1,475	> -1,457	> -968	> -763	> 32	> -995	> -1,481	> -1,041
~ relative change	> 1.87%	> -0.37%	> -4.85%	> -3.46%	> -3.55%	> -2.63%	> -3.05%	> -4.72%	> -4.62%	> -4.52%	> -3.20%	> -2.80%	> 0.12%	> -3.45%	> -5.04%	> -3.71%



Pollutant-specific recalculations result from changes in the emission



factors applied which are discussed further in the referring sub-chapters.

Planned improvements

Besides the routine revision of the underlying model, no specific improvements are planned.

Uncertainties

Information on uncertainties is provided here with most data representing expert judgement from the research project mentioned above.

For estimating uncertainties, the partial uncertainties ($U_{1,1}$ to $U_{n,n}$) of the components incorporated in emission calculations have to be quantified. By additive linking of the squared partial uncertainties the overall uncertainty (U_{total}) can then be estimated (IPCC, 2000) ⁸⁾.

The uncertainties given here have been evaluated for all time series and flight stages as average values. Estimating the overall uncertainty has been carried out as shown in the table below. In the very left column the components of the uncertainty estimations are listed with their partial uncertainties given in the next column. The next columns show the data linked to estimate the different overall uncertainties which themselves represent partial uncertainties for higher aggregated data and so on.

As an example, the uncertainty of the kerosene consumptions for domestic flights divided by flight stages (LTO and cruise) has been calculated from the partial uncertainty of the over-all kerosene consumption for domestic flights and the partial uncertainty of the LTO-cruise-split. Here, the split is based on the number of flights provided by the Federal Statistical Office and assumptions on the composition of the fleet. The overall uncertainties of both fuel consumption during LTO and cruise itself then represent a partial uncertainty within the estimation of the uncertainties of emissions.

Several partial uncertainties are based on assumptions. For example, the uncertainty given for the entire time series of the split factor domestic:international flights is an average value: For the years 1990 to 2002 data is based upon estimations carried out within TREMOD AV which themselves are based on data from the Federal Statistical Office and EF from the EMEP-EEA data base. For 2003 to 2011 data from Eurocontrol are being used, that are calculated within ANCAT. Comparing results from the ANCAT model with actual consumption data show aberrations of $\pm 12\%$. Here, data calculated with AEM 3 model would have an uncertainty of only 3 to 5 % (EUROCONTROL 2006) ⁹⁾.

The image below shows the partial uncertainties and correlations used for uncertainty estimations carried out during the research project. Mouseclick to enlarge! [gallery size="medium" : Uncertainties.png gallery](#)

As no uncertainty estimates were carried out for NH_3 , and particulate matter within the above-mentioned project, values from the PAREST research project mentioned for most over mobile sources were used. Here, the final report has not yet been published.

FAQs

Whereby does the party justify the adding-up of the two amounts given in BAFA table 7j as deliveries 'An die Luftfahrt' and 'An Sonstige' ?

For mineral oils, German National Energy Balances (NEBs) - amongst other sources - are based on BAFA data on the amounts delivered to different sectors. A comparison with consumption data from AGEBA and BAFA shows that data from NEB line 76 /63: 'Luftverkehr' equates to the amount added from both columns in BAFA table 7j.

Why is there no aviation gasoline reported under 1.A.3.a i - International Civil Aviation?

Due to the lack of further information, the party assumes that aviation gasoline is only being used for domestic civil aviation. - Furthermore, the party also assumes that the use of aviation gasoline in domestic civil aviation takes place below 3,000 feet only - and therewith only within the LTO-range (1.A.3.a ii (i)).

bibliography : 1 : Knörr et al. (2019c): Knörr, M. Allekotte, M. & Gores, S.: TREMOD Aviation (TREMOD AV) 2019 - Revision des Modells zur Berechnung des Flugverkehrs (TREMOD-AV). Heidelberg, Berlin: Ifeu Institut für Energie- und Umweltforschung Heidelberg GmbH & Öko-Institut e.V., Berlin & Heidelberg, 2019. : 2 : Gores (2019): Inventartool zum deutschen Flugverkehrsinventar 1990-2018, im Rahmen der Aktualisierung des Moduls TREMOD-AV im Transportemissionsmodell TREMOD, Berlin, 2019. : 3 : AGEb (2019): Working Group on Energy Balances (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen (Hrsg.), AGEb): Energiebilanz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: <https://ag-energiebilanzen.de/7-0-Bilanzen-1990-2017.html>, (Aufruf: 18.11.2019), Köln & Berlin, 2019 : 4 : BAFA (2019): Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (Bundesamt für Wirtschaft und Ausfuhrkontrolle, BAFA): Amtliche Mineralöl-daten für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: https://www.bafa.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Energie/Mineraloel/moel_amtliche_daten_2017_dezember.html, (Aufruf: 29.11.2018), Eschborn, 2018. : 5 : UBA, 2001a: Umweltbundesamt: UBA-Text 17/01: Maßnahmen zur verursacherbezogenen Schadstoffreduzierung des zivilen Flugverkehrs : 6 : ÖKO-INSTITUT, 2009: Überarbeitung des Emissionsinventars des Flugverkehrs, vorläufiger Endbericht zum F+E-Vorhaben 360 16 019, Berlin, August 2009. : 7 : IPCC (2006b): Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: IPCC emission factor data base; URL: <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/EFDB/main.php> : 8 : Döpelheuer (2002): Anwendungsorientierte Verfahren zur Bestimmung von CO, HC und Ruß aus Luftfahrttriebwerken, Dissertationsschrift des DLR, Institut für Antriebstechnik, Köln, 2002. : 9 : CORINAIR, 2006 - EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook - 2006, EEA technical report No. 11/2006; Dezember 2006, Kopenhagen, 2006 URL: <http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/EMEP/CORINAIR4> : 10 : Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, Volume 3: Reference Manual, Chapter I: Energy; URL: <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch1ref2.pdf>, p. I.40 : 11 : Revised 1999 IPCC Guidelines, Volume 3: Reference Manual, Chapter I: Energy; <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch1ref3.pdf>, p. I.42 : 12 : EMEP/EEA, 2016: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016, Copenhagen, 2017. : 13 : IPCC, 2000: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC Secretariat, 16th Session, Montreal, 1-8 May 2000, URL: <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gp/english/> : 14 : EUROCONTROL, 2006 - The Advanced Emission Model (AEM3) - Validation Report, Jelinek, F., Carlier, S., Smith, J., EEC Report EEC/SEE/2004/004, Brüssel 2004 URL: http://www.eurocontrol.int/eec/public/standard_page/DOC_Report_2004_016.html
http://www.eurocontrol.int/eec/public/standard_page/DOC_Report_2006_030.html bibliography

¹⁾ (bibcite 7)

²⁾ (bibcite 8)

³⁾ (bibcite 1)

⁴⁾ (bibcite 8)

⁵⁾ (bibcite 10)

⁶⁾ (bibcite 11)

⁷⁾ (bibcite 12)

⁸⁾ (bibcite 13)

⁹⁾ (bibcite 14)