

1.A3.b ii - Transport: Road Transport: Light Duty Vehicles

Short description

In sub-category 1.A.3.b ii - Road Transport: Light Duty Vehicles emissions from fuel combustion in Light Duty Vehicles (LDVs) are reported.

Category Code	Method					AD					EF				
1.A.3.b ii	T1, T3					NS, M					CS, M, D				
Key Category	SO ₂	NO _x	NH ₃	NM VOC	CO	BC	Pb	Hg	Cd	Diox	PAH	HC B	TSP	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
1.A.3.b ii	-/-	L/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	L/T	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/T	L/T

T = key source by Trend **L** = key source by Level

Methods

D	Default
RA	Reference Approach
T1	Tier 1 / Simple Methodology *
T2	Tier 2*
T3	Tier 3 / Detailed Methodology *
C	CORINAIR
CS	Country Specific
M	Model

* as described in the EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook - 2007, in the group specific chapters.

AD - Data Source for Activity Data

NS	National Statistics
RS	Regional Statistics
IS	International Statistics
PS	Plant Specific data
AS	Associations, business organisations
Q	specific questionnaires, surveys

EF - Emission Factors

D	Default (EMEP Guidebook)
C	Confidential
CS	Country Specific
PS	Plant Specific data


Methodology

Activity data

Specific consumption data for light-duty vehicles (LDV) are generated within TREMOD ¹⁾. - The following table provides an overview of annual amounts of fuels consumed by LDV in Germany.

Table 1: Annual fuel consumption of light duty vehicles, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Diesel oil	25.715	69.182	97.262	104.706	101.229	101.076	104.483	105.776	105.371	108.404	106.812	112.127	121.134	128.288	136.814	145.516	145.785	150.608
Gasoline	28.187	17.111	14.466	9.216	8.374	7.723	6.825	6.481	6.090	5.877	5.417	5.349	5.602	5.552	5.679	5.936	6.044	6.407
CNG	0	0	0	340	484	706	927	1.127	1.217	1.266	1.177	952	1.022	1.085	868	779	762	727
Biodiesel	0	108	1.078	6.997	12.205	13.523	10.410	8.545	8.078	7.564	7.538	6.650	7.444	7.017	7.253	7.768	8.474	8.575
Biogasoline	0	0	0	63	121	104	130	185	235	241	240	229	244	241	247	250	272	276
Biogas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	168	188	258	183	204	242	205	332
Σ 1.A.3.b ii	53.902	86.401	112.806	121.322	122.412	123.133	122.776	122.115	120.992	123.351	121.353	125.495	135.703	142.366	151.065	160.491	161.541	166.925



For information on mileage, please refer to sub-chapters on emissions from [tyre & brake wear](#) and [road abrasion](#).

Emission factors

The majority of emission factors for exhaust emissions from road transport are taken from the 'Handbook Emission Factors for Road Transport' (HBEFA, version 4.1) ²⁾ where they are provided on a tier3 level mostly and processed within the TREMOD software used by the party ³⁾.

However, it is not possible to present these highly specific tier3 values here in a comprehensible way .



With respect to the country-specific emission factors applied for particulate matter, given the circumstances during test-bench measurements, condensables are most likely included at least partly. ¹⁾

For heavy-metal (other than lead from leaded gasoline) and PAH exhaust-emissions, default emission factors from the 2019 EMEP Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2019) ⁴⁾ have been applied. Regarding PCDD/F, a tier1 EF from (Rentz et al., 2008) ⁵⁾ is used instead.

Table 3: tier1 emission factors

	Pb	Cd	Hg	As	Cr	Cu	Ni	Se	Zn	B[a]P	B[b]F	B[k]F	I[1,2,3-c,d]p	PAH 1-4	PCDD/F
	[g/Tj]									[mg/Tj]					[µg/km]
Diesel oil	0.012	0.001	0.123	0.002	0.198	0.133	0.005	0.002	0.419	498	521	275	493	1.788	
Biodiesel¹	0.013	0.001	0.142	0.003	0.228	0.153	0.005	0.003	0.483	575	601	317	569	2.062	
Gasoline fuels	0.037	0.005	0.200	0.007	0.145	0.103	0.053	0.005	0.758	96	140	69	158	464	

CNG² & biogas³	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	
LPG⁴	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	4.35	0.00	4.35	4.35	13.0	
all fuels															0.000006

¹ values differ from EFs applied for fossil diesel oil to take into account the specific NCV of biodiesel

² no specific default available from ⁶⁾; value derived from CNG powered busses

³ no specific default available from ⁷⁾; values available for CNG also applied for biogas

⁴ no specific default available from ⁸⁾; value derived from LPG powered passenger cars


Discussion of emission trends

Table: Outcome of Key Category Analysis

for:	NO_x	BC	PM₁₀	PM_{2.5}
by:	L/-	L/T	-/T	L/T

Nitrogen oxides


NO_x emissions increased steadily until 2002 following the shift to diesel engines. During the last ten years, emissions decline steadily due to catalytic-converter use and engine improvements resulting from ongoing tightening of emissions laws and improved fuel quality.



Particulate matter & Black carbon

Starting in the middle of the 1990s, a so-called “diesel boom” began, leading to a switch from gasoline to diesel powered passenger cars. As the newly registered diesel cars had to meet the EURO2 standard (in force since 1996/97) with a PM limit value less than half the EURO1 value, the growing diesel consumption was overcompensated quickly by the mitigation technologies implemented due to the new EURO norm. During the following years, new EURO norms came into force. With the still ongoing “diesel boom” those norms led to a stabilisation (EURO3, 2000/01) of emissions and to another strong

decrease of PM emissions (EURO4, 2005/'06), respectively. Over-all, the increased consumption of diesel in passenger cars was overestimated by the implemented mitigation technologies.



Recalculations

Compared to submission 2020, recalculations were carried out due to a routine revision of the TREMOD software and the revision of several National Energy Balances (NEB).

Here, **activity data** were revised within TREMOD.

Table 4: Revised fuel consumption data, in terajoules

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Diesel oil																	
Submission 2021	25.715	69.182	97.262	104.706	101.229	101.076	104.483	105.776	105.371	108.404	106.812	112.127	121.134	128.288	136.814	145.516	145.785
Submission 2020	41.153	89.124	116.634	121.822	105.582	105.122	108.097	109.289	108.711	111.764	110.034	115.424	118.957	126.159	134.558	143.170	143.928
absolute change	-15.437	-19.942	-19.372	-17.116	-4.353	-4.046	-3.614	-3.513	-3.340	-3.361	-3.222	-3.297	2.177	2.129	2.256	2.346	1.857
relative change	-37,5%	-22,4%	-16,6%	-14,1%	-4,12%	-3,85%	-3,34%	-3,21%	-3,07%	-3,01%	-2,93%	-2,86%	1,83%	1,69%	1,68%	1,64%	1,29%
Biodiesel																	
Submission 2021	0	108	1.078	6.997	12.205	13.523	10.410	8.545	8.078	7.564	7.538	6.650	7.444	7.017	7.253	7.768	8.474
Submission 2020	0	139	1.292	8.112	12.701	14.020	10.724	8.761	8.273	7.730	7.704	6.789	7.248	6.839	7.082	7.593	8.307
absolute change	0	-31,1	-215	-1.115	-496	-497	-315	-215	-194	-167	-166	-140	196	179	172	175	167
relative change	#DIV/0!	-22,4%	-16,6%	-13,7%	-3,90%	-3,54%	-2,94%	-2,46%	-2,35%	-2,16%	-2,16%	-2,06%	2,70%	2,61%	2,42%	2,31%	2,01%
Gasoline																	
Submission 2021	28.187	17.111	14.466	9.216	8.374	7.723	6.825	6.481	6.090	5.877	5.417	5.349	5.602	5.552	5.679	5.936	6.044
Submission 2020	31.432	19.932	16.561	10.726	9.665	8.888	7.792	7.367	6.899	6.646	6.117	6.022	5.989	6.042	6.145	6.354	6.442
absolute change	-3.245	-2.820	-2.094	-1.510	-1.291	-1.165	-966	-887	-809	-769	-700	-674	-387	-490	-466	-418	-398

relative change	-10,3%	-14,1%	-12,6%	-14,1%	-13,4%	-13,1%	-12,4%	-12,0%	-11,7%	-11,6%	-11,4%	-11,2%	-6,47%	-8,11%	-7,58%	-6,58%	-6,18%
Biogasoline																	
Submission 2021				63,3	121	104	130	185	235	241	240	229	244	241	247	250	272
Submission 2020				73,7	139	120	149	210	267	272	271	258	260	262	267	268	290
absolute change				-10,4	-18,6	-15,7	-18,5	-25,3	-31,3	-31,5	-31,0	-28,9	-16,8	-21,3	-20,2	-17,6	-17,9
relative change				-14,1%	-13,4%	-13,1%	-12,4%	-12,0%	-11,7%	-11,6%	-11,4%	-11,2%	-6,47%	-8,11%	-7,58%	-6,58%	-6,18%
CNG																	
Submission 2021				340	484	706	927	1.127	1.217	1.266	1.177	952	1.022	1.085	868	779	762
Submission 2020				341	485	707	928	1.128	1.218	1.267	1.179	953	981	1.073	858	771	900
absolute change				-0,81	-1,15	-1,50	-1,60	-1,57	-1,54	-1,53	-1,59	-1,09	40,9	11,9	9,76	8,12	-138
relative change				-0,24%	-0,24%	-0,21%	-0,17%	-0,14%	-0,13%	-0,12%	-0,13%	-0,11%	4,17%	1,11%	1,14%	1,05%	-15,4%
Biogas																	
Submission 2021												168	188	258	183	204	242
Submission 2020												168	189	247	181	202	240
absolute change												-0,23	-0,21	10,31	2,01	2,29	2,53
relative change												-0,13%	-0,11%	4,17%	1,11%	1,14%	1,05%

Due to the variety of tier3 **emission factors** applied, it is not possible to display any changes in these data sets in a comprehensible way.



For more information on recalculated emission estimates reported for Base Year and 2018, please see the pollutant-specific recalculation tables following chapter [8.1 - Recalculations](#).

Planned improvements

Besides a routine revision of the underlying model, no specific improvements are planned.

FAQs

- ^{1), 3)} Knörr et al. (2020a): Knörr, W., Heidt, C., Gores, S., & Bergk, F.: ifeu Institute for Energy and Environmental Research (Institut für Energie- und Umweltforschung Heidelberg gGmbH, ifeu): Fortschreibung des Daten- und Rechenmodells: Energieverbrauch und Schadstoffemissionen des motorisierten Verkehrs in Deutschland 1960-2035, sowie TREMOD, im Auftrag des Umweltbundesamtes, Heidelberg & Berlin, 2020.
- ²⁾ Keller et al. (2017): Keller, M., Hausberger, S., Matzer, C., Wüthrich, P., & Notter, B.: Handbook Emission Factors for Road Transport, version 4.1 (Handbuch Emissionsfaktoren des Straßenverkehrs 4.1) URL: <http://www.hbefa.net/e/index.html> - Dokumentation, Bern, 2017.
- ^{4), 6), 7), 8)} EMEP/EEA, 2019: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019; <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019/part-b-sectoral-guidance-chapters/1-energy/1-a-combustion/1-a-3-b-i/view>; Copenhagen, 2019.
- ⁵⁾ Rentz et al., 2008: Nationaler Durchführungsplan unter dem Stockholmer Abkommen zu persistenten organischen Schadstoffen (POPs), im Auftrag des Umweltbundesamtes, FKZ 205 67 444, UBA Texte | 01/2008, January 2008 - URL: <http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/publikationen/nationaler-durchfuehrungsplan-unter-stockholmer>

¹⁾ During test-bench measurements, temperatures are likely to be significantly higher than under real-world conditions, thus reducing condensation. On the contrary, smaller dilution (higher number of primary particles acting as condensation germs) together with higher pressures increase the likeliness of condensation. So over-all condensables are very likely to occur but different to real-world conditions.

