2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production 1/6

## 2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production

## **Short description**

The source subcategory NFR 2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production comprises process-related emissions from oxygen steel and electric steel production.

<b>Category Code</b>		Method		AD			EF								
2.C.1		T2		NS			CS								
	NO <sub>x</sub>	NMVOC	SO <sub>2</sub>	NΗ₃	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	TSP	вс	СО	Pb	Cd	Hg	Diox	PAH	нсв
Key Category:	-/-	-/-	L/-	-/-	L/T	L/T	L/T	-	L/-	L/T	L/T	L/T	L/T	L/T	L/-

T = key source by Trend L = key source by Level

Default
Reference Approach
Tier 1 / Simple Methodology *
Tier 2*
Tier 3 / Detailed Methodology *
CORINAIR
Country Specific
Model

\* as described in the EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook - 2007, in the group specific chapters.

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
AD	AD - Data Source for Activity Data							
NS	National Statistics							
RS	Regional Statistics							
IS	International Statistics							
PS	Plant Specific data							
AS	Associations, business organisations							
Q	specific questionnaires, surveys							

Y	٦	specific questionnaires, s	·u
EF	-	<b>Emission Factors</b>	Т

**D** Default (EMEP Guidebook)

**C** Confidential

**CS** Country Specific

PS Plant Specific data

In 2020 a total of 24.1 million tonnes of raw steel were produced in six integrated steelworks. Electrical steel production amounted to another 11.5 million tonnes.

Other structural elements are sinter production, hot iron production, hot rolling, iron and steel foundries (including malleable casting). The last Siemens-Martin steelworks (Stahlwerk Brandenburg) was shut down shortly after 1990. The last Thomas steelworks (Maxhütte Sulzbach-Rosenberg) discontinued its production in 2002. Due to their minor relevance and their phase-out, the emissions from Siemens-Martin and Thomas steel production are jointly calculated with the emissions from oxygen steel production.

Energy-related emissions from steel production for the years 1990 to 1994 (for individual pollutants until 1999) are reported under 1.A Fuel combustions in the respective subcategory 1.A.2.a. A detailed explanation for the individual pollutants is also found there.

2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production 2/6



### Method

#### **Activity data**

Activity data is collected from plant operators by national institutions. Since the discontinuation of the special public statistics for iron and steel production (FS. 4, R. 8.1), the information is collected by the German steel trade association Wirtschaftsvereinigung Stahl (WV Stahl) based on a formal agreement.

As the activity rates for 2017 could not be provided by WV Stahl as a result of compliance issues, aggregated figures from emissions trading were used instead. The consistency of emissions trading data was verified against comparative figures for previous years. The deviations were less than 1%; only in sinter production were they noticeably higher (maximum + 8%).

In the iron and steel industry, only minor amounts of secondary fuels are used for pig iron production in individual blast furnaces. They are used as substitute reducing agents of coke and coal. To date, these materials have not yet been included in the national statistics nor in the Energy Balance. For this reason, the data used is also provided by WV Stahl.

#### **Emission factors**

The emission factors used to calculate emissions are based on emission data from individual plants. The emission factors for 1995 to 2001 were determined by the German Environment Agency (UBA for its initials in German) itself and those for 2008 and later through a research project.

2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production 3/6

As the EF for the years 1995 to 2001 as well as for 2008 are based on real stack emission data. Since both combustion and process-related emissions are released through the same stacks, emission factors could not be calculated individually for combustion or process-related emissions. Hence, wherever plant-based EF were available, as it the case for most pollutants for the years 1995 and later, all emissions are reported under 2.C.1.

Please note that the reported emissions also cover diffuse emissions from sources that are not covered in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook. For many pollutants and sources, individual EFs for channelled as well as diffuse emissions have been determined. While there is sufficient knowledge and measurement data of channelled emissions, the emission data concerning diffuse sources is usually based on estimations using parameters adapted to the local conditions of the individual emission source. Therefore, emission data for one source of diffuse emissions is not significant for the diffuse emissions from other plants. The emission factors given below were calculated as the weighted average of the pollution loads reported by the plant operators for individual diffuse sources, in relation to their corresponding production amounts.

Table 1: Overview of the emission factors applied for sinter production

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1990	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	Unit
Cd			0.098	-	0.052	0.017	g/t
СО		19.	152	17.325	15.497	14.4	kg/t
Cr			0.077	-	0.044	0.02	g/t
НСВ				0.03			mg/t
Hg			0.059		0.028	0.005	g/t
Ni			0.139		0.068	0.015	g/t
NMVOC		0.12					kg/t
NO <sub>x</sub>		ll ll	E <sup>1)</sup>	0.558	0.46	0.401	kg/t
PAH	channelled	320.00	248.571	177.143	12	20	mg/t
Pb			5.299	•	3.242	1.7	g/t
PCB		3.0	2.285714	1.571429		1	mg/t
PCDD/F		6.0	4.575	3.149	1.724	0.796	μg/t
SO <sub>2</sub>		ll ll	<b>E</b> <sup>2)</sup>	1.08	0.837	0.691	kg/t
TSP	channelled		0.65	0.465	0.234	0.096	kg/t
TSP	diffuse					0.046	kg/t
PM <sub>10</sub>	channelled		0.445	0.336	0.177	0.07	kg/t
PM <sub>10</sub>	diffuse					0.016	kg/t
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	channelled		0.214	0.206	0.13	0.056	kg/t

Table 2: Overview of the emission factors applied for pig iron production

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	Unit		
PAH	channelled		0.	5		mg/t		
Cd	channelled		4.0					
Cd	diffuse		0.203					
CO	channelled	1.18	0.915	0.65	0.491	kg/t		
СО	diffuse		0.3	98		kg/t		
Cr	channelled	0.019	0.006	0.002	0.001	g/t		
Cr	diffuse		0.0	08		g/t		
Hg	channelled	2.436	0.192	0.015	0.003	mg/t		
Hg	diffuse	0.005				mg/t		
Ni	channelled	21.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	mg/t		
Ni	diffuse		8.	.0		mg/t		
NMVOC			18.	525		g/t		
NO <sub>x</sub>	channelled	0.051938	0.051938	0.051938	0.0517	kg/t		
NO <sub>x</sub>	diffuse		0.0	01		g/t		
Pb	channelled		0.0	22		g/t		
Pb	diffuse		0.0	11		g/t		
PCDD/F		0.026	0.009	0.004	0.004	μg/t		
SO <sub>2</sub>	channelled	0.242						
SO <sub>2</sub>	diffuse	0.04				kg/t		
TSP	channelled	0.022	0.015	0.01	0.008	kg/t		

2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production 4/6

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	Unit
TSP	diffuse		0.0	16		kg/t
PM <sub>10</sub>	channelled	0.013	0.009	0.006	0.006	kg/t
PM <sub>10</sub>	diffuse		0.0	07		kg/t
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	channelled	0.009	0.007	0.005	0.004	kg/t

For **sinter production**, as long as no country specific emission factor for HCB has been derived, the standard emission factor is used. By implementing the EMEP/EEA Guidebook standard emission factor, Germany is following recommendations provided by the Expert Review Team for the NECD-Review in 2020. <u>Table 3: Overview of the emission factors applied for oxygen steel production</u>

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	Unit	
Cd		0.053	0.038	0.024	0.016	g/t	
СО		11.500	11.077	10.654	10.400	kg/t	
Cr	channelled	0.715	0.306	0.125	0.028	g/t	
Cr	diffuse		0.0	)69		g/t	
Ni	channelled	0.090	0.060	0.030	0.006	g/t	
Ni	diffuse		0.0	04		g/t	
NO <sub>x</sub>	channelled	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.004	kg/t	
NO <sub>x</sub>	diffuse	0.0037					
PAH	channelled	0.100					
Pb	channelled	2.941	1.883	0.824	0.189	g/t	
Pb	diffuse		0.2	78	•	g/t	
РСВ		2.670	1.740	1	1	mg/t	
PCDD/F		0.070	0.070	0.070	0.069	μg/t	
SO <sub>2</sub>	diffuse		0.0	01		kg/t	
TSP	channelled	0.155	0.145	0.145	0.024	kg/t	
TSP	diffuse	0.049					
PM <sub>10</sub>	channelled	0.099	0.093	0.093	0.020	kg/t	
PM <sub>10</sub>	diffuse		0.0	19		kg/t	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	channelled	0.025	0.023	0.023	0.017	kg/t	

Table 4: Overview of the emission factors applied for electric steel production

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	Unit	
Cd		0.240	0.157	0.065	0.016	g/t	
СО	channelled	1.700	1.187	0.674	0.366	kg/t	
СО	diffuse		0.0	01	-	kg/t	
Cr	channelled	0.481	0.206	0.258	0.323	g/t	
Cr	diffuse		0.8	351	-	g/t	
Hg	channelled	0.306	0.288	0.154	0.070	g/t	
Ni	channelled	0.483	0.207	0.145	0.124	g/t	
Ni	diffuse	0.284					
NMVOC		0.035	0.024	0.012	0.006	kg/t	
NO <sub>x</sub>	channelled	0.122	0.12	0.106	0.098	kg/t	
NO <sub>x</sub>	diffuse		0.0	14		kg/t	
PAH		45	22.1	3.798	3.793	mg/t	
Pb	channelled	4.075	1.747	0.720	0.170	g/t	
Pb	diffuse		0.0	56	=	g/t	
PCB		5.68	3.360	1.500	1.500	mg/t	
PCDD/F		0.466	0.295	0.158	0.158	μg/t	
SO <sub>2</sub>	channelled	0.113					
SO <sub>2</sub>	diffuse	0.004					
TSP	channelled	0.28	0.12	0.074	0.018	kg/t	
TSP	diffuse				0.043	kg/t	
PM <sub>10</sub>	channelled	0.179	0.08	0.051	0.013	kg/t	

2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production 5/6

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	Unit
PM <sub>10</sub>	diffuse				0.007	kg/t
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	channelled	0.045	0.04	0.038	0.011	kg/t

Table 5: Overview of the emission factors applied for hot and cold rolling

Pollutant	Type of source	EF 1995	EF 2000	EF 2005	EF 2010	unit	Trend
CO					5.0	g/t	constant
NH <sub>3</sub>				0.700		g/t	constant
NMVOC				3.0		g/t	constant
NO <sub>x</sub>			0.410	0.276	0.196	kg/t	falling
SO <sub>2</sub>			0.059	0.050	0.044	kg/t	falling
TSP	channelled				0.020	kg/t	constant
TSP	diffuse				0.010	kg/t	constant
PM <sub>10</sub>	channelled				0.304	g/t	constant
PM <sub>10</sub>	diffuse				0.645	g/t	constant
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	channelled				0.266	g/t	constant

Table 6: Overview of the emission factors applied for iron and steel casting

Pollutant	EF 2010	Unit	Trend
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.027	kg/t	falling
NMVOC	0.150	kg/t	constant
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.242	kg/t	falling
PAH	0.100	g/t	constant
PCDD/F	0.190	μg/t	constant
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.256	kg/t	falling
TSP	0.200	kg/t	constant
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.137	kg/t	constant
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.0836	kg/t	constant

### Discussion of emission trends

The trends in emissions correspond to the trends of emission factors given in the tables above, which are often driven by regulatory measures.

However, since 2010, the main driver of the emission trends in most cases is the activity data.

## **Recalculations**



For more **information on recalculated emission estimates for the Base Year and 2019**, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables in the following chapter 8.1 - Recalculations.

# **Planned improvements**

HCB emissions from **iron and steel production** have not been included so far due to a lack of emission data (notation key NE). In the past, the standard emission factor was not considered as appropriate, because it was unclear from what kind of data the factor was derived from and to which process/activity rate it actually referred to. In order to overcome this data gap, information will be collected within the scope of a reserach project for updating and completing the emission factors for the sector. The project will start in 2021 and is designed to run for three years.

2.C.1 - Iron & Steel Production 6/6

1) , 2)

Emissions were reported under NRF Code 1.A.2.a