

5.C.1.b v - Cremation

Category Code	Method					AD					EF				
5.C.1.b.v	CS					AS					D, CS				
Key Category	SO ₂	NO _x	NH ₃	NM VOC	CO	BC	Pb	Hg	Cd	Diox	PAH	HCB	TSP	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
5.C.1.b.v	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-

T = key source by Trend **L** = key source by Level

Methods	
D	Default
RA	Reference Approach
T1	Tier 1 / Simple Methodology *
T2	Tier 2*
T3	Tier 3 / Detailed Methodology *
C	CORINAIR
CS	Country Specific
M	Model

* as described in the EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook - 2007, in the group specific chapters.

AD - Data Source for Activity Data	
NS	National Statistics
RS	Regional Statistics
IS	International Statistics
PS	Plant Specific data
AS	Associations, business organisations
Q	specific questionnaires, surveys
EF - Emission Factors	
D	Default (EMEP Guidebook)
C	Confidential
CS	Country Specific
PS	Plant Specific data

Method

Emissions from cremation are not a key source and of minor priority. Since March 1997, a national legal ordinance for cremation plants nationwide is in force (27. BImSchV).

Activity data

Activity data for this category are based on data from the statistics of the "Bundesverband Deutscher Bestatter e.V."¹⁾. For purposes of GHG reporting we specify cremations as masses, too. The cremation is a growing trend in funerals.

Emission factors

Emission factors used are default values from the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016²⁾ as well as new national data for POPs from the research project "POP- und Hg-Emissionen aus abfallwirtschaftlichen Anlagen" - Teilvorhaben zum Globalvorhaben „Überprüfung des Standes der Technik der Emissionen prioritärer Schadstoffe für einzelne Industriebranchen (Kleinf Feuerungsanlagen und abfallwirtschaftliche Anlagen)"³⁾.

In 2018 the TERT noted that the German Hg EF is 100 times smaller than the default value proposed in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook and the Cd and Pb EF are 1000 times smaller than the default values proposed in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. However, the EF for Pb and Cd are based on national expert judgement: assumption that a) the emissions behave similarly to dust and b) the dust limit value of the air pollution control specification (27th BImSchV) is complied with (to be confirmed

on the basis of the new measurement data from 5 crematoria with different exhaust gas cleaning systems). The Hg EF was calculated on the basis of the German report on "OSPAR Recommendation 2003/4 on controlling the dispersal of mercury from crematoria", but is under evaluation.

Nevertheless a current analysis of measured data could decrease the country specific EF for POP further. After the done finalization of a research Project [FKZ 3716 53 3021 „Umweltrelevanz und Stand der Technik bei Einäscherungsanlagen“ (Environmental relevance and state of the art for cremation plants); URL:

<https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/umweltrelevanz-stand-technik-einaescherungsanlagen>] the transparent explanation of this is still outstanding.


EF for TSP, PM10, and PM2.5 derive from the research study "Studie zur Korngrößenverteilung (PM10 und PM2.5) von Staubemissionen" ⁴⁾.

Trends in emissions

All trends in emissions correspond to trends of xxx.

trends of emissions of cremations

Emissions by pollutant / Emissionen nach Schadstoff



* Base Year for PM = 1995 / Basisjahr für Feinstäube (PM) ist 1995

Source: German Emission Inventory (03.12.2021)

Emission trends in NFR 5.C.1

¹⁾ annual personal message from Stephan Neuser (contact URL: <https://www.bestatter.de/verband/ansprechpartner/>)

²⁾ EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016, Copenhagen, 2016

³⁾ Stöcklein; Gass; Suritsch: "POP- und Hg-Emissionen aus abfallwirtschaftlichen Anlagen", Teilvorhaben zum Globalvorhaben „Überprüfung des Standes der Technik der Emissionen prioritärer Schadstoffe für einzelne Industriebranchen (Kleinf Feuerungsanlagen und abfallwirtschaftliche Anlagen)“; URL:

https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/378/publikationen/texte_38_2016_pop-und_hg-emissionen_aus_

[abfallwirtschaftlichen_anlagen.pdf](#); UBA-Texte 38/2016; im Auftrag des Umweltbundesamtes, April 2016

⁴⁾ Dreiseidler, A.; Baumbach, G.; Pregger, T.; Obermeier, A. (1999): Studie zur Korngrößenverteilung (< PM₁₀ und < PM_{2,5}) von Staubemissionen. UBA-Forschungsbericht 297 44 853, Umweltbundesamt Berlin (Study on particle size distribution (< PM₁₀ and < PM_{2,5}) of dust emissions)