

## 3.B - Manure Management

### Short description

NFR-Code	Name of Category	Method	AD	EF	State of reporting
3.B	Manure Management	see sub-category details			
consisting of / including source categories					
3.B.1.a & 3.B.1.b	Cattle	T3 (NH <sub>3</sub> ), T2 (NO <sub>x</sub> , TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NMVOC)	NS, RS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> ), D (TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NMVOC)	L: NH <sub>3</sub> (for 3.B.1.a)
3.B.2, 3.B.4.d, 3.B.4.e	Sheep, Goats, Horses	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> ), T1 (NMVOC)	NS, RS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>x</sub> ), D (TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NMVOC)	
3.B.3	Swine	T3 (NH <sub>3</sub> ), T2 (NO <sub>x</sub> , TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> ), T1 (NMVOC)	NS, RS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> ), D (TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NMVOC)	
3.B.4.a	Buffalo				NO, from 1990 until 1995, since 1996 IE, considered in 3.B.1.b
3.B.4.f	Mules and asses				IE, considered in 3.B.4.e
3.B.4.g i-iv	Poultry	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> ), T1 (NMVOC)	NS, RS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> ), D (TSP, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NMVOC)	T: NH <sub>3</sub> (for 3.B.4.g iii)
3.B.4.h	Other animals				NE

Key Category	NO <sub>x</sub>	NMVOC	SO <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	TSP	BC	CO	Pb	Cd	Hg	Diox	PAH	HCb
3.B.1.a	-/-	L/-	-	L/-	L/-	L/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.1.b	-/-	L/-	-	L/T	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.2	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.3	-/-	-/-	-	L/T	-/-	-/-	L/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.4.d	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.4.e	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.4.g.i	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	L/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.4.g.ii	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.4.g.iii	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.B.4.g.iv	-/-	-/-	-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**T** = key source by Trend **L** = key source by Level

Methods	
<b>D</b>	Default
<b>T1</b>	Tier 1 / Simple Methodology *
<b>T2</b>	Tier 2*
<b>T3</b>	Tier 3 / Detailed Methodology *
<b>C</b>	CORINAIR
<b>CS</b>	Country Specific
<b>M</b>	Model

\* as described in the EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook - 2019, in the group specific chapters.

#### AD - Data Source for Activity Data

<b>NS</b>	National Statistics
<b>RS</b>	Regional Statistics
<b>IS</b>	International Statistics
<b>PS</b>	Plant Specific data
<b>As</b>	Associations, business organisations
<b>Q</b>	specific Questionnaires (or surveys)
<b>M</b>	Model / Modelled
<b>C</b>	Confidential

#### EF - Emission Factors

<b>D</b>	Default (EMEP Guidebook)
----------	--------------------------

<b>C</b>	Confidential
<b>CS</b>	Country Specific
<b>PS</b>	Plant Specific data
<b>M</b>	Model / Modelled

## Country specifics



In 2021,  $\text{NH}_3$  emissions from category 3.B (manure management) were 43.2 % from total agricultural emissions, which is equal to  $\sim 208.5$  kt  $\text{NH}_3$ . Within those emissions 49.1 % originate from cattle manure ( $\sim 102.4$  kt), 34.8 % from pig manure (ca. 72.5 kt), and 12.3 % from poultry manure ( $\sim 25.6$  kt). Calculations take into account the impact of anaerobic digestion of manure on the emissions.

$\text{NO}_x$  emissions from category 3.B (manure management) contribute only 1.2 % ( $\sim 1.3$  kt) to the total agricultural  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions. They are calculated proportionally to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, see Rösemann et al. (2023) <sup>1)</sup>.

NM VOC emissions from category 3.B (manure management) contributed 96.8 % (281.2 kt) from total agricultural NM VOC emissions (290.6 kt).

In 2021, manure management contributed, respectively, 65.4 % (39.6 kt), 37.0 % (12.3 kt) and 68.9 % (3.6 kt) to the total agricultural TSP,  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  emissions (TSP: 60.6 kt,  $\text{PM}_{10}$ : 33.3 kt,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ : 5.3 kt, respectively).

### Activity data for all pollutants

The Federal Statistical Agency and the Statistical Agencies of the federal states carry out surveys in order to collect, along with other data, the head counts of animals. The results of these surveys are used for emission calculations, for details see Rösemann et al, 2023, Chapter 2.3.

The animal population figures used in the inventory are presented in Table 1. Buffaloes are included in the cattle population figures, mules and asses are included in the horse population figures (IE), see Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapters 2.3. In the first years after the German reunification in 1990 animal livestock decreased markedly. The head counts for cattle continued to decrease significantly until 2006/2007, followed by a more or less stable period until 2014. Since 2015 a slight decrease occurred. In 2021, dairy cattle numbers are 60.3 % of 1990 numbers, while the total population of other cattle is at 54.9 % of 1990. Swine numbers decreased until 1995 and then increased slightly. Since 2014 a new decrease occurred which became significant between 2020 and 2021 (total pig numbers were reduced by almost 9 %). In 2021 swine numbers are 74.4 % of 1990 numbers. The 2021 numbers of horses, sheep and goats are, respectively, at 91.4 %, 55.0 % and 176.6 % of 1990.

Figures for broilers and turkeys are showing a massive increase since 1990. Since the year 2013, there have been only

minor changes of total poultry numbers. In total, 2021 poultry population figures are at 152.8 % of 1990. A detailed description of the animal numbers used can be found in the National Inventory Report 2023<sup>2)</sup>, Chapter 5.1.3.2.3. Animal numbers of rabbits, ostrich and fur-bearing animals are available only for one year of the time series, see Rösemann et al. (2017). The animal numbers in these categories are low and the animals have limited impact on the total NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Nonetheless, following a recommendation from the NEC review 2022, Germany will obtain furtheris working on obtaining activity data for the entire time series and in order to report the emissions in a future submission.

Table 1: Population of animals

Population of animals (in 1000)																
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
dairy cattle	6,354.6	5,229.4	4,569.8	4,236.4	4,183.1	4,190.1	4,190.5	4,267.6	4,295.7	4,284.6	4,217.7	4,199.0	4,100.9	4,011.7	3,921.4	3,832.7
other cattle	13,133.4	10,660.5	9,968.9	8,800.4	8,628.7	8,340.4	8,319.1	8,418.4	8,446.5	8,350.8	8,248.9	8,082.2	7,848.2	7,627.9	7,380.5	7,206.9
buffalo	NO	NO	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE
mules and asses	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE
horses	499.5	634.1	499.5	508.4	461.8	461.6	461.5	461.3	454.9	448.4	442.0	444.9	447.8	450.7	453.7	456.6
sheep	3,266.1	2,990.7	2,743.3	2,643.1	2,245.0	1,979.7	1,965.9	1,877.2	1,892.4	1,866.9	1,851.0	1,863.2	1,846.0	1,813.6	1,780.3	1,794.8
goats	90.0	100.0	140.0	170.0	149.9	143.4	136.8	130.2	133.1	135.9	138.8	142.8	146.9	150.9	154.9	158.9
swine	26,502.5	20,387.3	21,767.7	22,742.8	22,244.4	22,787.9	23,648.3	23,391.2	23,666.9	22,978.5	22,761.2	22,920.8	22,019.2	21,596.4	21,622.0	19,728.6
laying hens	53,450.5	45,317.3	44,225.6	38,203.6	35,279.0	39,514.9	43,750.8	47,986.7	49,303.0	50,619.3	51,935.5	52,571.1	53,206.6	53,842.1	54,477.6	55,324.7
broilers	35,393.0	42,025.8	50,359.9	56,762.5	67,531.1	77,402.6	87,274.1	97,145.6	96,027.5	94,909.4	93,791.3	93,458.7	93,126.1	92,793.5	92,461.0	92,461.0
turkeys	5,029.2	6,742.0	8,893.1	10,611.1	11,344.0	11,981.2	12,618.5	13,255.7	12,957.1	12,658.5	12,359.9	12,164.7	11,969.5	11,774.3	11,579.1	11,579.1
pullets	17,210.8	14,592.0	14,240.5	12,301.4	11,303.3	12,749.3	14,195.2	15,641.2	14,734.7	13,828.3	12,921.8	12,736.3	12,550.7	12,365.1	12,179.6	12,179.6
ducks	2,013.7	1,933.7	2,055.7	2,352.2	3,164.3	3,029.5	2,894.6	2,759.7	2,585.3	2,410.8	2,236.4	2,209.1	2,181.9	2,154.6	2,127.4	2,127.4
geese	781.5	617.0	404.8	329.5	278.1	366.8	455.5	544.2	472.5	400.8	329.0	327.7	326.3	324.9	323.5	323.5
other animals: no data available a)																
a) Animal numbers of other animals are not available. Emissions of other animals were approximated with estimated population figures for a single year (see Rösemann et. al., 2017, Chapter 9, <sup>3)</sup> and submitted to the TERT of the NECD-Review. The TERT confirmed that emissions are below the threshold of significance. For GHG emission reporting the UNFCCC has acknowledged that the emissions from Germany's other animals are negligible. To ensure consistency between UNFCCC and UNECE/NEC reporting, no air pollutants from other animals are reported.																

## Additional data

Emission calculations in accordance with a Tier 2 or Tier 3 method require data on animal performance (animal weight, weight gain, milk yield, milk protein content, milk fat content, numbers of births, numbers of eggs and weights of eggs) and on the relevant feeding details (phase feeding, feed components, protein and energy content, digestibility and feed efficiency). To subdivide officially recorded total numbers of turkeys into roosters and hens, the respective population percentages need to be known. Details on data requirements for the modelling of emissions from livestock husbandry in the German inventory can be found in Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 2.

Most of the data mentioned above is not available from official statistics and was obtained from literature, from publications by agricultural associations, from regulations for agricultural consulting in Germany and from expert judgments.

For 1991, 1995 and 1999, frequency distributions of feeding strategies, husbandry systems (shares of pasturing/stabling; shares of various housing methods), storage types as well as techniques of farm manure spreading were obtained with the help of the RAUMIS agricultural sector model (Regionalisiertes Agrar- und UmweltInformationssystem für Deutschland/ Regionalised agricultural and environmental information system for Germany). RAUMIS has been developed and is operated by the Institute of Rural Studies of the Thünen Institute (Federal Research Institute for Rural Areas, Forestry and Fisheries). For an introduction to RAUMIS see Weingarten (1995)<sup>4)</sup>; a detailed description is provided in Henrichsmeyer et al. (1996)<sup>5)</sup>.

RAUMIS did not model complete time series but only selected years. RAUMIS data for the years 1991, 1995, and 1999 are used in the inventory for years 1990 – 1993, 1994 – 1997, and 1998 – 1999, respectively. For the year 2009, respective data are used that were derived from the 2010 official agricultural census and the simultaneous survey of agricultural production methods (Landwirtschaftliche Zählung 2010, Statistisches Bundesamt/ Federal Statistical Office) as well as the 2011 survey on manure application practices (Erhebung über Wirtschaftsdüngerausbringung, Statistisches Bundesamt/ Federal Statistical Office).

## NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>

## N in manure management

In order to determine  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions from manure management of a specific animal category, the individual N excretion rate must be known as well as, for  $\text{NH}_3$ , the TAN content of the N excretions. Default excretion rates are provided by IPCC Guidelines and default TAN contents can be found in the EMEP Guidebook, 2019<sup>6)</sup>. However, the German agricultural emission inventory uses N mass balances to calculate the N excretions and the TAN contents of almost all reported animal categories. N mass balance calculations (see below) consider N intake with feed, N retention due to growth, N contained in milk and eggs, and N in offspring. Table 2 presents national means of N excretions and TAN contents. For methodological details and mass balance input data see Rösemann et al. (2023). Chapter 4.2 as well as Chapter 4.1.2.

[illegible]

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
geese	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0

### N mass flow and emission assessment

The calculation of the emissions of  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  from German animal husbandry is based on the so-called N mass flow approach (e. g. Dämmgen and Hutchings, 2008<sup>7)</sup>). This approach differentiates between N excreted with faeces (organic nitrogen  $\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ , i. e. undigested feed N) and urine (total ammoniacal nitrogen TAN, i. e. fraction of feed N metabolized). The N flow within the manure management system is treated as depicted in the figure below. This method reconciles the requirements of both the Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook for  $\text{NH}_3$  emissions (EMEP, 2019), and the IPCC guidelines for greenhouse gas emissions (IPCC (2006)<sup>8)</sup>. Reidy et al. (2008),<sup>9)</sup> showed for several European countries (Germany, the Netherlands, Switzerland, United Kingdom) that their N-flow based inventory models yielded, in spite of national peculiarities, comparable results as long as standardised data sets for the input variables were used.

Not explicitly shown in the N mass flow scheme is air scrubbing in housing and anaerobic digestion of manure. These issues are separately described further below. Note that emissions from grazing and application are reported in sector 3.D.



General scheme of N flows in animal husbandry

$m$ : mass from which emissions may occur. Narrow broken arrows: TAN (total ammoniacal nitrogen); narrow continuous arrows: organic N. The horizontal arrows denote the process of immobilisation in systems with bedding occurring in the house, and the process of mineralisation during storage, which occurs in any case. Broad arrows denote N-emissions assigned to manure management ( $E_{\text{yard}}$   $\text{NH}_3$  emissions from yards;  $E_{\text{house}}$   $\text{NH}_3$  emissions from house;  $E_{\text{storage}}$   $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  emissions from storage;  $E_{\text{applic}}$   $\text{NH}_3$  emissions during and after spreading;  $E_{\text{graz}}$   $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  emissions during and after grazing;  $E_{\text{soil}}$   $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  emissions from soil resulting from manure input).

The model allows tracing of the pathways of the two N fractions after excretion. The various locations where excretion may take place are considered. The partial mass flows down to the input to soil are represented. During storage  $\text{N}_{\text{org}}$  can be transformed into TAN and vice versa. Both, the way and the magnitude of such transformations may be influenced by manure treatment processes like, e. g., anaerobic digestion where a considerable fraction of  $\text{N}_{\text{org}}$  is mineralized to TAN. For details see Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 4.2. Wherever  $\text{NH}_3$  is emitted, its formation is related to the amount of the TAN present.  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions are related to the total amount of N available ( $\text{N}_{\text{org}}$  + TAN).  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions (i. e.  $\text{NO}$  emissions) are calculated proportionally to the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, see section 'Emission factors'. Note that the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  emissions from the various storage systems include the respective emissions from the related housing systems.

### Air scrubber systems in swine and poultry housings

For pig and poultry production the inventory model considers the effect of air scrubbing. Data on frequencies of air scrubbing facilities and the removal efficiency are provided by KTBL (Kuratorium für Technik und Bauwesen in der Landwirtschaft /

According to the KTBL data, 6.6 % of all pig places were equipped with "first class" systems in 2021, another 11.2 % were equipped with "second class" systems. For poultry 8 % of all laying hen places and 2.1 % of all broiler places were equipped with air scrubbers that remove both NH<sub>3</sub> and particles. The amounts of NH<sub>3</sub>-N removed by air scrubbing are completely added to the pools of total N and TAN for landspreading. For details see Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 4.2.2.

### Anaerobic digestion of manure

NH<sub>3</sub> and NO emissions occur from pre-storage of solid manure, from non-gastight storage of digestates and from land-spreading of digestates (NH<sub>3</sub> emissions and NO emissions from landspreading of digested manure are reported in 3.Da.2.a). There are no such emissions from pre-storage of slurry, from the fermenter and from gastight storage of digestates. Note that NH<sub>3</sub> and NO emissions calculated with respect to the digestion of animal manures do not comprise the contributions by co-digested energy crops. The latter are dealt with separately in 3.D.a.2.c and 3.I.

## Emission Factors

The detailed NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors are, in general, related to the amount of TAN available at the various stages of the N flow chain. The emission factors for laying hens, broilers, pullets, ducks and turkeys are related to N. Most NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors are country-specific but some are taken from EMEP (2019). No specific NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors are known for the application of digested manure. However, due to co-fermentation with energy crops, the viscosity of digested manure resembles that of untreated cattle slurry. Hence, the emission factors for untreated cattle slurry are adopted for the application of digested manure. For the detailed emission factors of livestock husbandry see Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 4.3.

The detailed emission factors for  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  relate to the amount of N available which is N excreted plus, in case of solid manure systems, N input with bedding material. The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission factors are taken from IPCC (2006). The emission factors for  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{N}_2$  are approximated as being proportional to the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission factors, i.e. the  $\text{NO-N}$  and  $\text{N}_2$  emission factors are, respectively, one-tenth and three times the value of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O-N}$  emission factor, see Rösemann et al. (2023), chapter 4.2.4. This proportionality is also applied to anaerobic digestion of manure, where  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions occur from pre-storage of solid manure and non-gastight storage of digestates with the emission factors being those used for normal storage of solid manure and the storage of untreated slurry with natural crust provided by IPCC (2006). Note that the inventory model calculates NO rather than  $\text{NO}_x$ . The conversion of NO emissions into  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions is achieved by multiplying the NO emissions with the  $\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}$  molar weight ratio of 46/30. This relationship also holds for NO and  $\text{NO}_x$  emission factors.

Table 3: IEF for  $\text{NH}_3$  &  $\text{NO}_x$  from manure management

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
IEF in kg NH <sub>3</sub> per animal place																



	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>dairy cattle</b>	9.8	10.3	11.1	12.2	12.7	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.9	13.1	13.3	13.3	13.5	13.9	14.0	14.0
<b>other cattle</b>	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.7	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7
<b>horses</b>	13.5	13.5	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7
<b>sheep</b>	0.83	0.82	0.84	0.83	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.83	0.82
<b>goats</b>	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62
<b>swine</b>	4.53	4.45	4.33	4.25	4.08	4.01	3.94	3.89	3.84	3.86	3.81	3.77	3.73	3.67	3.63	3.67
<b>laying hens</b>	0.214	0.206	0.211	0.209	0.137	0.138	0.136	0.135	0.133	0.132	0.130	0.129	0.128	0.126	0.125	0.125
<b>broilers</b>	0.143	0.120	0.128	0.131	0.128	0.118	0.103	0.092	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.093	0.094	0.092	0.089	0.088
<b>turkeys</b>	0.793	0.793	0.797	0.874	0.836	0.839	0.892	0.862	0.860	0.859	0.859	0.860	0.835	0.835	0.783	0.783
<b>pullets</b>	0.103	0.095	0.087	0.087	0.084	0.083	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.082	0.083	0.083	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.083
<b>ducks</b>	0.193	0.193	0.193	0.192	0.189	0.188	0.188	0.186	0.186	0.185	0.185	0.185	0.186	0.186	0.185	0.185
<b>geese</b>	0.384	0.384	0.384	0.383	0.380	0.380	0.380	0.379	0.379	0.378	0.378	0.378	0.378	0.378	0.378	0.378
<b>IEF in kg NO<sub>x</sub> per animal place</b>																
<b>dairy cattle</b>	0.106	0.114	0.125	0.130	0.126	0.124	0.120	0.117	0.118	0.119	0.120	0.120	0.123	0.126	0.128	0.128
<b>other cattle</b>	0.053	0.057	0.059	0.063	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.065	0.065	0.066	0.067	0.068	0.068	0.069
<b>horses</b>	0.084	0.084	0.086	0.086	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.086	0.086	0.086	0.086	0.086
<b>sheep</b>	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
<b>goats</b>	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
<b>swine</b>	0.011	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.011
<b>laying hens</b>	0.00027	0.00026	0.00025	0.00029	0.00035	0.00035	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
<b>broilers</b>	0.00016	0.00014	0.00015	0.00018	0.00020	0.00019	0.00016	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015	0.00015
<b>turkeys</b>	0.00067	0.00067	0.00070	0.00084	0.00090	0.00091	0.00092	0.00090	0.00089	0.00089	0.00089	0.00089	0.00086	0.00085	0.00081	0.00081
<b>pullets</b>	0.00011	0.00010	0.00009	0.00010	0.00012	0.00012	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011
<b>ducks</b>	0.00024	0.00024	0.00024	0.00025	0.00027	0.00027	0.00026	0.00027	0.00027	0.00027	0.00027	0.00027	0.00027	0.00027	0.00026	0.00026
<b>geese</b>	0.00024	0.00024	0.00025	0.00027	0.00030	0.00030	0.00028	0.00029	0.00028	0.00029	0.00029	0.00029	0.00028	0.00028	0.00028	0.00028

#### Trend discussion for Key Sources

Dairy cattle, other cattle and swine are key sources of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from manure management. The time series of the total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from all three categories are predominantly driven by the development of the animal numbers, see Table 1. However, the effect of decreasing animal numbers is partly compensated by the continuously increasing animal performance. This leads to increasing N excretions per animal, see Table 2, which, in principle, is reflected by increasing implied emission factors, see Table 3. Increasing dairy cattle emissions since 2010 are also due to a sharp decline of tied housing systems, which have a lower NH<sub>3</sub> emission factor than loose housing systems. For swine the IEF is decreasing over time due to lower raw protein contents in feed and the use of air scrubbing systems that, to a high degree, remove NH<sub>3</sub> from the housings.

For NO<sub>x</sub> there are no key categories.

#### Recalculations

All time series of the emission inventory have completely been recalculated since 1990. Tables REC-1 and REC-2 compare the recalculated time series for NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> from 3B with the respective data of last year's submission. The total emissions of NH<sub>3</sub> are considerably lower than those of submission 2022. The main reason for this is recalculation No. 2 (deep bedding), which lowers especially the manure management emissions of other cattle (correspondingly this increases the emissions from manure spreading (3.D.a.2.a), albeit to a lesser extent).

The NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from swine and poultry are lower than in the 2022 submission mainly due to the use of new data on raw protein content in fattening pig feed from the survey "Protein use in pig fattening" (recalculation No. 8). The main reason for lower poultry emissions are the changes made for the laying hens category concerning grazing and emission factors (recalculation No. 10). See main page of the agricultural sector ([Chapter 5 - NFR 3 - Agriculture \(OVERVIEW\)](#)), list of **recalculation reasons, No. 2, 8, 10**.

Further details on recalculations are described in Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 1.3.

*Tables REC-1 and REC-2: Comparison of the NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of the submissions (SUB) 2022 and 2023*

NH <sub>3</sub> emissions from manure management, in Gg																	
	SUB	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Total</b>	<b>2023</b>	296.08	244.15	242.78	243.08	238.82	238.18	241.00	240.92	240.96	237.93	234.15	232.03	225.13	220.63	216.65	208.39
	<b>2022</b>	307.85	257.56	256.39	256.42	251.11	252.27	257.23	259.54	262.08	261.24	259.61	259.48	254.22	251.43	249.17	
<b>Dairy cattle</b>	<b>2023</b>	62.10	53.93	50.70	51.58	53.23	53.55	53.55	54.58	55.25	56.01	55.90	55.84	55.41	55.63	54.85	53.81
	<b>2022</b>	62.19	54.13	50.82	51.39	52.87	53.48	53.79	55.15	56.27	57.48	57.79	58.21	58.22	58.88	59.09	
<b>Other cattle</b>	<b>2023</b>	81.36	66.68	63.90	59.07	61.71	58.81	58.07	58.48	58.16	57.19	55.93	54.46	52.53	51.02	49.64	48.55
	<b>2022</b>	91.43	78.85	76.86	71.97	73.10	71.17	71.64	73.40	74.47	74.55	74.32	73.70	72.46	71.69	70.41	
<b>Swine</b>	<b>2023</b>	120.10	90.66	94.17	96.65	90.80	91.28	93.14	90.97	90.92	88.61	86.73	86.30	82.18	79.34	78.56	72.41
	<b>2022</b>	121.81	91.84	95.23	97.70	91.92	92.81	95.19	93.59	94.09	92.36	91.07	91.20	87.44	85.01	84.75	
<b>poultry</b>	<b>2023</b>	22.94	21.72	24.64	26.35	24.64	26.36	28.10	28.83	28.63	28.23	27.79	27.58	27.13	26.73	25.68	25.64
	<b>2022</b>	22.84	21.58	24.10	25.93	24.79	26.62	28.46	29.33	29.25	28.95	28.64	28.52	28.22	27.95	27.00	
<b>Other animals</b>	<b>2023</b>	9.59	11.16	9.37	9.43	8.43	8.18	8.16	8.07	8.00	7.89	7.79	7.85	7.88	7.90	7.93	7.98
	<b>2022</b>	9.59	11.16	9.37	9.43	8.43	8.18	8.16	8.07	8.00	7.89	7.79	7.85	7.88	7.90	7.93	
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions from manure management, in Gg																	
	SUB	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Total</b>	<b>2023</b>	1.731	1.554	1.517	1.509	1.489	1.461	1.439	1.436	1.441	1.432	1.421	1.408	1.383	1.368	1.346	1.307
	<b>2022</b>	1.731	1.554	1.516	1.505	1.487	1.460	1.439	1.437	1.444	1.436	1.427	1.416	1.393	1.379	1.365	
<b>Dairy cattle</b>	<b>2023</b>	0.671	0.597	0.570	0.551	0.525	0.519	0.502	0.501	0.505	0.508	0.508	0.504	0.504	0.507	0.502	0.492
	<b>2022</b>	0.671	0.597	0.570	0.553	0.524	0.517	0.501	0.499	0.503	0.507	0.506	0.503	0.503	0.507	0.506	
<b>Other cattle</b>	<b>2023</b>	0.690	0.604	0.587	0.551	0.553	0.533	0.530	0.539	0.544	0.543	0.540	0.535	0.526	0.520	0.505	0.494
	<b>2022</b>	0.690	0.604	0.587	0.550	0.548	0.529	0.525	0.534	0.539	0.538	0.535	0.530	0.521	0.515	0.506	
<b>Swine</b>	<b>2023</b>	0.281	0.256	0.270	0.313	0.317	0.314	0.311	0.299	0.296	0.285	0.277	0.273	0.258	0.246	0.244	0.226
	<b>2022</b>	0.281	0.256	0.270	0.309	0.320	0.318	0.317	0.307	0.305	0.295	0.289	0.287	0.273	0.262	0.258	
<b>poultry</b>	<b>2023</b>	0.026	0.024	0.027	0.032	0.038	0.042	0.042	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.044	0.044	0.043	0.043
	<b>2022</b>	0.026	0.024	0.027	0.032	0.039	0.042	0.043	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.044	0.044	
<b>Other animals</b>	<b>2023</b>	0.063	0.073	0.062	0.062	0.055	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.052	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.052	0.052	0.052
	<b>2022</b>	0.063	0.073	0.062	0.062	0.055	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.052	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.052	0.052	

#### Planned improvements

No improvements are planned at present.

## NMVOC

In 2021, NMVOC emissions from manure management amount to 281.2 kt which is 96.8 % of total NMVOC emissions from the agricultural sector. 84.6 % originate from cattle, 4.5 % from pigs, and 9.7 % from poultry.

#### Method

The Tier 2 methodology provided by EMEP (2019)-3B-28 was used to assess the NMVOC emissions from manure management for dairy cattle and other cattle. For all other animals the Tier 1 methodology (EMEP (2019)-3B-17) was used. The use of the Tier 2 methodology delivers yields NMVOC emissions which formally could be reported in the sectors 3.D.a.2.a (application of manure to soils) and 3.D.a.3 (grazing emissions). However, to be congruent with the NMVOC emissions for other animal categories, Germany reports these emissions in the NMVOC emissions reported from manure management (3.B). For the NFR codes 3.D.a.2.a and 3.D.a.3 the key note IE is used for NMVOC emissions.

#### Activity data

Animal numbers serve as activity data, see Table 1.



## Emission factors

For the Tier 2 methodology applied to dairy cattle and other cattle the following data was used:

- gross feed intake in MJ per year, country specific data from the annual reporting of greenhouse gas emissions, see NIR 2023, Chapter 5.1.3.3,
- proportion  $x_{\text{house}}$  of the year the animals spend in the livestock building: country specific data, being equal to  $1 - x_{\text{graz}}$  with  $x_{\text{graz}}$  the proportion of the year spent on pasture, see NIR 2023, Chapter 19.3.2,
- $\text{FRAC}_{\text{silage}}$ : 1 as proposed by EMEP (2019)-3B-29, since silage feeding for cattle is considered dominant in Germany
- $\text{FRAC}_{\text{silage store}}$ : 0.25 as proposed by EMEP (2019)-3B-30 for European conditions
- $\text{EF}_{\text{NMVOC, silage feeding}}$ ,  $\text{EF}_{\text{NMVOC, house}}$ ,  $\text{EF}_{\text{NMVOC, graz}}$  are taken from EMEP (2019)-3B-32, table 3.11 as 0.0002002, 0.0000353 and 0.0000069 kg NMVOC/MJ feed intake, respectively,
- $\text{EF}_{\text{NH}_3, \text{storage}}$ ,  $\text{EF}_{\text{NH}_3, \text{building}}$  and  $\text{EF}_{\text{NH}_3, \text{application}}$  are taken from the  $\text{NH}_3$  reporting (see above and 3.D).

For all other animal categories the Tier 1 emission factors for NMVOC were used as provided in EMEP (2019)-3B-18, Table 3.4. For horses the emission factors for feeding with silage was chosen, for all other animals the emission factors for feeding without silage. Due to missing country-specific emission factors or emission factors that do not correspond to the inventory's animal categories, the emission factors provided in EMEP (2019)-3B-18, Table 3.4, were used to define specific emission factors for weaners, boars, lambs, ponies/light horses and pullets, see Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 4.3.3. The implied emission factors given in Table 4 relate the overall NMVOC emissions to the number of animals in each animal category. The IEFs for dairy cattle and other cattle are much higher than the EMEP Tier 1 EF, which are 17.937 kg NMVOC for dairy cattle and 8.902 kg NMVOC for other cattle. The only possible explanation for those huge differences is that the EMEP Tier 2 and Tier 1 methods are not consistent.

The IEFs for the other categories provided in Table 4 correspond to the EMEP Tier 1 emission factors, except for horses, sheep, swine and other poultry. These categories comprise subcategories with different emission factors so that their overall IEFs in Table 4 represent subpopulation-weighted national mean values. Note that other poultry in Germany includes not only geese and ducks but also pullets. For pullets no default EF is given in the EMEP guidebook (EMEP, 2019), hence the EF of broilers has been adopted (because of similar housing). This assumption significantly lowers the overall IEF of other poultry in Table 4 (the IEFs are listed separately for each poultry category). The IEF of the sheep category is significantly lower than the EMEP Tier 1 emission factor, because for lambs the EF is assumed to be 40% lower compared to an adult sheep in accordance with the difference in N excretion between lambs and adult sheep.

Table 4: IEF for NMVOC from manure management

IEF in kg NMVOC per animal place																
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>dairy cattle</b>	30.939	32.691	35.437	36.558	37.249	37.614	37.628	37.468	37.885	38.142	38.501	38.438	39.196	39.968	40.525	40.637
<b>other cattle</b>	11.714	11.672	11.782	11.638	11.652	11.565	11.496	11.462	11.371	11.350	11.280	11.252	11.235	11.265	11.330	11.382
<b>horses</b>	6.497	6.491	6.688	6.660	6.644	6.643	6.642	6.641	6.644	6.646	6.648	6.651	6.654	6.657	6.660	6.663
<b>sheep</b>	0.131	0.131	0.132	0.132	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.132
<b>goats</b>	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542	0.542
<b>swine</b>	0.695	0.698	0.690	0.682	0.669	0.663	0.656	0.654	0.652	0.651	0.649	0.648	0.648	0.648	0.642	0.645
<b>laying hens</b>	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165	0.165
<b>broilers</b>	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108
<b>turkeys</b>	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489
<b>pullets</b>	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108
<b>ducks</b>	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489
<b>geese</b>	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489	0.489

## Trend discussion for Key Sources

Dairy cattle and other cattle are key sources of NMVOC emissions from manure management. The total NMVOC emissions from both animal categories strongly correlate with the animal numbers given in Table 1 (dairy cattle:  $R^2 = 0.867$ ; other cattle:  $R^2 = 0.993$ ).

## Recalculations

All time series of the emission inventory have completely been recalculated since 1990. Table REC-3 compares the recalculated time series of the NMVOC emissions from 3.B with the respective data of last year's submission. The recalculated total emissions are slightly lower for dairy cattle and other cattle. This is mostly due to **recalculation reason No. 2** (deep bedding), see [main page of the agricultural sector](#). This changes the NH<sub>3</sub> emissions which have impact on the Tier 2 methodology which is applied for cattle NMVOC emissions. For other animals there are no differences. Further details on recalculations are described in Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 1.3.

Table REC-3: Comparison of NMVOC emissions of the submissions (SUB) 2022 and 2023

NMVOC emissions from manure management, in Gg																	
	SUB	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Total	2023	390.91	332.32	318.01	296.90	296.25	296.36	298.20	303.25	305.49	304.13	300.85	297.81	293.76	290.79	286.92	281.15
	2022	391.24	332.95	318.87	298.26	297.23	297.42	299.37	304.56	306.95	305.72	302.74	299.98	296.20	293.49	289.79	
Dairy cattle	2023	196.60	170.95	161.94	154.87	155.82	157.61	157.68	159.90	162.74	163.42	162.39	161.40	160.74	160.34	158.92	155.75
	2022	196.61	170.97	162.10	155.51	155.79	157.59	157.67	159.89	162.77	163.48	162.60	161.76	161.25	161.00	159.99	
Other cattle	2023	153.85	124.43	117.46	102.42	100.54	96.46	95.63	96.49	96.04	94.78	93.05	90.94	88.18	85.93	83.62	82.03
	2022	154.16	125.04	118.15	103.14	101.55	97.54	96.82	97.80	97.48	96.31	94.72	92.75	90.10	87.97	85.42	
Other animals	2023	40.46	36.94	38.62	39.61	39.89	42.29	44.89	46.87	46.70	45.93	45.42	45.47	44.85	44.52	44.38	43.37
	2022	40.46	36.94	38.62	39.61	39.89	42.29	44.89	46.87	46.70	45.93	45.42	45.47	44.85	44.52	44.38	

## Planned improvements

No improvements are planned at present.

## TSP, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>

In 2021, TSP emissions from manure management amount to 60.6 % of total emissions from the agricultural sector. Within the emissions from manure management 23.9 % originate from cattle, 35.3 % from pigs, and 40.2 % from poultry. 37.0 % of total PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from the agricultural sector are caused by manure management, where 35.2 % originate from cattle, 16.5 % from pigs, and 47.4 % from poultry. 68.9 % of total PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions from the agricultural sector are caused by manure management, where 78.0 % originate from cattle, 2.6 % from pigs, and 17.8 % from poultry.

## Method

EMEP (2013-3B-26) provided a Tier 2 methodology. In the current Guidebook (EMEP, 2019), this methodology has been replaced by a Tier 1 methodology. However, EF for cattle derived with the EMEP 2013 Tier 2 methodology remained unchanged. Therefore, the EMEP 2013<sup>10)</sup> methodology was kept for cattle. For swine the EMEP 2013 methodology was formally kept but the EMEP 2019 Tier 1 EF was used both for slurry and solid based manure management systems. The same was done with the EMEP 2016 EFs for laying hens (used for cages and perchery). In case the EMEP 2019 EFs are simply rounded EMEP 2013 EFs, the unrounded EMEP 2013 EFs were kept. The inventory considers air scrubber systems in swine and poultry husbandry. For animal places equipped with air scrubbing the emission factors are reduced according to the removal efficiency of the air scrubber systems (90 % for TSP and PM<sub>10</sub>, 70 % for PM<sub>2.5</sub>). For details see Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 4.2.2.

## Activity data

Animal numbers serve as activity data, see Table 1.

## Emission factors

Tier 1 emission factors for TSP, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> from livestock husbandry are provided in EMEP (2019-3B-19), Table 3.5 and

The implied emission factors given in Table 5 relate the overall TSP and PM emissions to the number of animals in each animal category. The Guidebook does not indicate whether EFs have considered the condensable component (with or without).

Table 5: IEF for TSP,  $PM_{10}$  &  $PM_{2.5}$  from manure management

[illegible]

## Trend discussion for Key Sources

Swine and laying hens are key sources of TSP emissions from manure management. The total TSP emissions from swine mainly follow the animal numbers given in Table 1 for the earlier years of the time series. However, due to increases in places equipped with air scrubbing and different emission factors of the different housing systems of the four swine subcategories (sows with piglets, weaners, fattening pigs, boars) and the varying population shares in those housing systems the  $R^2$  of the linear regression is lower than 1 (0.52). For laying hens and broilers, due to the low prevalence of air scrubbing systems, TSP emissions almost perfectly correlate with the animal numbers provided in Table 1 ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ).

## Recalculations

Table REC-4 shows the effects of recalculations on emissions of particulate matter. The main reason for the differences to last year's submission is the use of new data on number of air scrubbing systems from the official agricultural census 2020 (recalculation No. 6. The changes in interpolation of 2020 agricultural census data (**recalculation No. 1**) and the introduction of grazing emissions for laying hens (**recalculation No. 10**) have a much smaller impact, the latter is the only recalculation affecting the years 1990 to 2000. See [main page of the agricultural sector](#)). Further details on recalculations are described in Rösemann et al. (2023), Chapter 1.3.

Table REC-4: Comparison of particle emissions (TSP,  $PM_{10}$  &  $PM_{2.5}$ ) of the submissions (SUB) 2022 and 2023

TSP, PM10, PM2.5 emissions from manure management, in Gg																
	SUB	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
TSP	2022	50.04	42.24	42.43	41.25	40.30	41.80	43.95	45.14	45.45	44.74	44.54	44.59	43.65	43.04	42.99
TSP	2021	50.04	42.24	42.44	41.26	40.32	41.79	43.90	45.06	45.33	44.58	44.35	44.40	43.55	42.90	
$PM_{10}$	2022	14.34	12.71	12.63	12.29	12.31	12.76	13.33	13.84	13.82	13.63	13.48	13.43	13.20	13.02	12.91
$PM_{10}$	2021	14.34	12.71	12.63	12.29	12.32	12.75	13.31	13.80	13.77	13.56	13.39	13.36	13.17	13.00	
$PM_{2.5}$	2022	5.01	4.47	4.18	3.89	3.85	3.87	3.93	4.03	4.04	4.02	3.97	3.94	3.86	3.79	3.72
$PM_{2.5}$	2021	5.01	4.47	4.18	3.89	3.86	3.86	3.91	4.01	4.01	3.97	3.91	3.88	3.80	3.72	



For **pollutant-specific information on recalculated emission estimates for Base Year and 2020**, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables following [chapter 8.1 - Recalculations](#).

## Planned improvements

No improvements are planned at present.

## Uncertainty

Details will be described in [chapter 1.7](#).

<sup>1)</sup>

Rösemann C, Vos C, Haenel H-D, Dämmgen U, Döring U, Wulf S, Eurich-Menden B, Freibauer A, Döhler H, Steuer B, Osterburg B, Fuß R (2023) Calculations of gaseous and particulate emissions from German agriculture 1990 – 2021 : Report on methods and data (RMD) Submission 2023.

<https://www.thuenen.de/de/fachinstitute/agrarklimaschutz/arbeitsbereiche/emissionsinventare>

<sup>2)</sup>

NIR, National Inventory Report 2023 for the German Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990-2021. Available in April 2023

<sup>3)</sup>

Rösemann C, Haenel H-D, Dämmgen U, Freibauer A, Döring, U, Wulf S, Eurich-Menden B, Döhler H, Schreiner C, and Osterburg B, 2017, Calculations of gaseous and particulate emissions from German Agriculture 1990 – 2015. Report on methods and data (RMD), Submission 2017. Thünen Report 46, 423 p.

<sup>4)</sup>

Weingarten, 1995: Das „Regionalisierte Agrar- und Umweltinformationssystem für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland“

(RAUMIS). Berichte über die Landwirtschaft Band 73, 272-302.

<sup>5)</sup>

Henrichsmeyer, W.; Cypris, Ch.; Löhe, W.; Meuth, M.; Isermeyer F; Heinrich, I.; Schefski, A.; Neander, E.; Fasterding, F.; Neumann, M.; Nieberg, H., 1996: Entwicklung des gesamtdeutschen Agrarsektormodells RAUMIS96. Endbericht zum Kooperationsprojekt. Forschungsbericht für das BMELF (94 HS 021), Bonn, Braunschweig.

<sup>6)</sup>

EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook – 2019, EEA Report No 13/2019,

<https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019>.

<sup>7)</sup>

Dämmgen U., Hutchings N.J. (2008): Emissions of gaseous nitrogen species from manure management - a new approach. Environmental Pollution 154, 488-497.

<sup>8)</sup>

IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006): IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4 Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.

<sup>9)</sup>

Reidy B. et al. (2008): Reidy B., Dämmgen U., Döhler H., Eurich-Menden B., Hutchings N.J., Luesink H.H., Menzi H., Misselbrook T.H., Monteny G.-J., Webb J. (2008): Comparison of models used for the calculation of national NH<sub>3</sub> emission inventories from agriculture: liquid manure systems. Atmospheric Environment 42, 3452-3467.

<sup>10)</sup>

EMEP (2013): EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook – 2013