

## 3.I - Agricultural: Other

### Short description

NFR-Code	Name of Category	Method	AD	EF						
3.I	Agriculture: other									
consisting of / including source categories:										
3.I	Storage of digestate from energy crops	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> )	Q, PS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> )						
Method(s) applied										
D	Default									
T1	Tier 1 / Simple Methodology *									
T2	Tier 2*									
T3	Tier 3 / Detailed Methodology *									
C	CORINAIR									
CS	Country Specific									
M	Model									
* as described in the EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook - 2019, in category chapters.										
(source for) Activity Data										
NS	National Statistics									
RS	Regional Statistics									
IS	International Statistics									
PS	Plant Specific									
As	Associations, business organisations									
Q	specific Questionnaires (or surveys)									
M	Model / Modelled									
C	Confidential									
(source for) Emission Factors										
D	Default (EMEP Guidebook)									
CS	Country Specific									
PS	Plant Specific									
M	Model / Modelled									
C	Confidential									
NO <sub>x</sub>	NM VOC	SO <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	TSP	BC	CO	Heavy Metals	POPs
-/-	NA	NA	-/-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
L/-	key source by Level only									
-/T	key source by Trend only									
L/T	key source by both Level and Trend									
-/-	no key source for this pollutant									
IE	emission of specific pollutant Included Elsewhere (i.e. in another category)									
NE	emission of specific pollutant Not Estimated (yet)									
NA	specific pollutant not emitted from this source or activity = Not Applicable									
*	no analysis done									

### Country specifics

In 2021, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from category 3.I (agriculture other) derived up to 0.7 % from total agricultural emissions, which is equal to ~ 3.2 kt NH<sub>3</sub>. NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from category 3.I contribute 0.16 % (~ 0.17 kt) to the total agricultural emissions. All these emissions originate from the storage of digestate from energy crops (for details on anaerobic digestion of energy crops see Rösemann et al. 2023, Chapter 5.1 <sup>1)</sup>). The emissions resulting from the application of energy crop digestates as organic fertilizer are dealt with under 3.D.a.2.c.

### Activity Data

Time series of activity data have been provided by KTBL (Kuratorium für Technik und Bauwesen in der Landwirtschaft / Association for Technology and Structures in Agriculture). From these data the amount of N in energy crops fed into anaerobic digestion was calculated.

Table 1: N amount in energy crops fed into anaerobic digestion

N amount in energy crops in Gg N															
1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
0.1	0.7	5.6	47.6	172.0	214.5	234.9	284.1	297.3	308.8	307.1	302.1	297.6	297.8	304.2	304.2

Table 2: Distribution of gastight storage and storage in open tank of energy crop digestates

Distribution of gastight storage and non-gastight storage, in %																
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
gastight	0.0	4.7	9.4	15.8	42.2	47.5	59.4	61.9	63.9	64.6	64.8	64.5	64.8	65.5	65.8	65.8
non-gastight	100.0	95.3	90.6	84.2	57.8	52.5	40.6	38.1	36.1	35.4	35.2	35.5	35.2	34.5	34.2	34.2

## Methodology

The calculation of emissions from storage of digestate from energy crops considers two different types of storage, i.e. gastight storage and open tank. The frequencies of these storage types are also provided by KTBL (see Table 2). There are no emissions of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO from gastight storage of digestate. Hence the total emissions from the storage of digestate are calculated by multiplying the amount of N in the digestate leaving the fermenter with the relative frequency of open tanks and the emission factor for open tank. The amount of N in the digestate leaving the fermenter is identical to the N amount in energy crops fed into anaerobic digestion (see Table 1) because N losses from pre-storage are negligible and there are no N losses from fermenter (see Rösemann et al. 2023, Chapter 5.1).

## Emission factors

As no specific emission factor is known for the storage of digestion residues in open tanks, the NH<sub>3</sub> emission factor for storage of cattle slurry with crust in open tanks was adopted (0.045 kg NH<sub>3</sub> -N per kg TAN). This choice of emission factor is based on the fact that energy crops are, in general, co-fermented with animal manures (i. e. mostly slurry) and that a natural crust forms on the liquid digestates due to the relatively high dry matter content of the energy crops. The TAN content after the digestion process is 0.56 kg TAN per kg N. The NO emission factor for storage of digestion residues in open tanks was set to 0.0005 kg NO-N per kg N. Table 3 shows the resulting implied emission factors for NH<sub>3</sub> -N and NO-N. NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are related to NO-N emissions by the ratio of 46/14. This relationship also holds for NO-N and NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors.

Table 3: IEF for NH<sub>3</sub> -N and NO-N emissions from storage of digested energy crops

1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
IEF in kg NH <sub>3</sub> -N per kg N in digested energy crops															
0.0252	0.0240	0.0228	0.0212	0.0146	0.0132	0.0102	0.0096	0.0091	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0087	0.0086	0.0086
IEF in kg NO-N per kg N in digested energy crops															
0.00050	0.00048	0.00045	0.00042	0.00029	0.00026	0.00020	0.00019	0.00018	0.00018	0.00018	0.00018	0.00018	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017

## Trend discussion for Key Sources

NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> from storage of anaerobically digested energy crops are no key source.

## Recalculations

All time series of the emission inventory have completely been recalculated since 1990. Table REC-1 shows the effects of recalculations on NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from storage of anaerobically digested energy crops. Differences to last year's submission occur only in 2020 and are due to the update of activity data (see main page of the agricultural sector, [Chapter 5 - NFR 3 - Agriculture \(OVERVIEW\)](#), **recalculation reason No 15**). For further details on recalculations see Rösemann et al. (2032), Chapter 1.3.

Table REC-1: Comparison of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of the submissions (SUB) 2022 and 2023

NH <sub>3</sub> / NO <sub>x</sub> emissions in Gg																	
	SUB	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
NH <sub>3</sub>	2023	0.0015	0.0190	0.1563	1.2267	3.0426	3.4504	2.9206	3.3062	3.2814	3.3428	3.3004	3.2741	3.2013	3.1419	3.1782	3.1782
NH <sub>3</sub>	2022	0.0015	0.0190	0.1563	1.2267	3.0426	3.4504	2.9206	3.3062	3.2814	3.3428	3.3004	3.2741	3.2013	3.1419	3.1419	
NO <sub>x</sub>	2023	0.0001	0.0010	0.0084	0.0659	0.1634	0.1852	0.1568	0.1775	0.1762	0.1795	0.1772	0.1758	0.1719	0.1687	0.1706	0.1706
NO <sub>x</sub>	2022	0.0001	0.0010	0.0084	0.0659	0.1634	0.1852	0.1568	0.1775	0.1762	0.1795	0.1772	0.1758	0.1719	0.1687	0.1687	



For **pollutant-specific information on recalculated emission estimates for Base Year and 2020**, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables following [chapter 8.1 - Recalculations](#).

## Uncertainty

Details are described in [chapter 1.7](#).

<sup>1)</sup>

Rösemann C, Vos C, Haenel H-D, Dämmgen U, Döring U, Wulf S, Eurich-Menden B, Freibauer A, Döhler H, Steuer B, Osterburg B, Fuß R (2023) Calculations of gaseous and particulate emissions from German agriculture 1990 – 2021: Report on methods and data (RMD) Submission 2023. [www.eminv-agriculture.de](http://www.eminv-agriculture.de)