

## Chapter 5 - NFR 3 - Agriculture (OVERVIEW)

Emissions occurring in the agricultural sector in Germany derive from manure management (NFR 3.B), agricultural soils (NFR 3.D) and agriculture other (NFR 3.I). Germany does not report emissions in category field burning (NFR 3.F) (key note: NO), because burning of agricultural residues is prohibited by law (see Vos et al., 2022)<sup>1)</sup>.

NFR-Code	Name of Category
3.B	<a href="#">3.B Manure Management</a>
3.D	<a href="#">3.D Agricultural Soils</a>
3.F	<a href="#">3.F Field Burning Of Agricultural Residues</a>
3.I	<a href="#">3.I Agricultural: Other</a>

### Short description

Emissions occurring in the agricultural sector in Germany derive from manure management (NFR 3.B), agricultural soils (NFR 3.D) and agriculture other (NFR 3.I). Germany does not report emissions in category field burning (NFR 3.F) (key note: NO), because burning of agricultural residues is prohibited by law (see Vos et al., 2022).

The pollutants reported are:

- ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>),
- nitric oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>),
- volatile organic compounds (NMVOC),
- particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and TSP) and
- hexachlorobenzene (HCB).

No heavy metal emissions are reported.

In 2020 the agricultural sector emitted 512.3 Gg of NH<sub>3</sub>, 108.7 Gg of NO<sub>x</sub>, 298.9 Gg of NMVOC, 60.3 Gg of TSP, 30.2 Gg of PM<sub>10</sub> and 4.4 Gg of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 1.05 kg HCB. The trend from 1990 onwards is shown in the graph below. The sharp decrease of emissions from 1990 to 1991 is due to a reduction of livestock population in the New Länder (former GDR) following the German reunification. The increase of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions since 2005 is mostly due to the expansion of anaerobic digestion of energy crops, especially the application of the digestion residues. This is a new emission source which also effects NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The decrease of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions since 2015 is mostly due to a decline in the amounts of mineral fertilizer sold and stricter regulations concerning application of urea fertilizers. Further details concerning trends can be found in Vos et al., 2022, Chapter 2.

As depicted in the diagram below, in 2020 95.4 % of Germany's total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions derived from the agricultural sector, while nitric oxides reported as NO<sub>x</sub> contributed 11.1 % and NMVOC 28.9 % to the total NO<sub>x</sub> and NMVOC emissions of Germany. Regarding the emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and TSP the agricultural sector contributed 5.4 % (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), 16.7 and 17.8 %, respectively, to the national particle emissions. HCB emissions of pesticide use contributed 22.1 % to the total German emissions.

### Mitigation measures

The agricultural inventory model can represent several abatement measures for emissions of NH<sub>3</sub> and particles. The measures comprise:

- changes in animal numbers and amount of applied fertilizers
- air scrubbing techniques: yearly updated data on frequencies of air scrubbing facilities and the removal efficiency are provided by KTBL (Kuratorium für Technik und Bauwesen in der Landwirtschaft / Association for Technology and Structures in Agriculture). The average removal efficiency of NH<sub>3</sub> is 80 % for swine and 70 % for poultry, while for TSP and PM<sub>10</sub> the rates are set to 90 % and for PM<sub>2.5</sub> to 70 % for both animal categories. For swine two types of air scrubbers are distinguished: certified systems that remove both NH<sub>3</sub> and particles, and non-certified systems that remove only particles reliably.
- reduced raw protein content in feeding of fattening pigs: the German animal nutrition association (DVT, Deutscher Verband Tiernahrung e.V.) provides data on the raw protein content of fattening pig feed, therefore enabling the

inventory to depict the changes in N-excretions over the time series. The time series is calibrated using data from official and representative surveys conducted by the Federal Statistical Office.

- reduced raw protein content in feeding and feed conversion rates of broilers: the German animal nutrition association (DVT, Deutscher Verband Tiernahrung e.V.) provides data on the raw protein content of fattening broiler feed, and feed conversion rates of broilers. This makes it possible to model the changes in N-excretions over the time series.
- low emission spreading techniques of manure: official agricultural censuses survey the distribution of different manure spreading techniques and how fast organic fertilizers are incorporated into the soil. Germany uses distinct emission factors for different methods, techniques and incorporation durations.
- covering of slurry storage: agricultural censuses survey the distribution of different slurry covers. Germany uses distinct emission factors for the different covers.
- use of urease inhibitors: for urea fertilizer the German fertilizer ordinance prescribes the use of urease inhibitors or the direct incorporation into the soil from 2020 onwards.

The NH<sub>3</sub> emission factor for urea fertilizers is therefore reduced by 70% from 2020 onwards, according to Bittman et al. (2014, Table 15)<sup>2)</sup>

For NO<sub>x</sub> and NMVOC no mitigation measures are included.

## Recalculations and reasons

(see [Chapter 8.1 - Recalculations](#))


The following list summarizes, the most important reasons for recalculations. Recalculations result from improvements in input data and methodologies (for details see Rösemann et al. (2021), Chapter 3.5.2).

- 1) Dairy cows and calves: Adjustment of initial weight, energy requirements and feeding according to German expert recommendations; correction of the calculation of the TAN excretion of dairy cows.
- 2) Heifers: Subdivision into dairy and slaughter heifers with different final weights; adaptation of energy requirements and feeding according to German expert recommendations.
- 3) Male beef cattle: Adjustment of feeding according to German expert recommendations; correction of the calculation of the TAN flow into manure storage.
- 4) Male cattle > 2 years: update (increase) of the amount of bedding material (straw).
- 5) Cattle grazing: The NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors were updated according to EMEP (2019).
- 6) Sows: Update of the number of piglets per sow in 2018.
- 7) Fattening pigs and weaners: Update of animal numbers, starting weights and final weights for 2018.
- 8) All pigs except boars: Update of activity data of air scrubbing systems in pig housings from 2005 onwards.
- 9) Sheep, laying hens, broilers, pullets: Update of the NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors for manure storage according to EMEP (2019).
- 10) Broilers: Update of the national gross production of broiler meat in 2018; update of activity data of air scrubbing systems in broiler housings from 2013 onwards.
- 11) Turkeys: Recalculation of the final weights of roosters and hens 1990 to 2001.
- 12) Anaerobic digestion of animal manures: Update of activity data in all years and of the NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors for pre-storage of cattle manure and poultry manure.
- 13) Mineral fertilizers, liming, application of urea: Annual averaging of activity data (moving centered three-year mean for 1990 to 2018; for 2019 mean from 2018 and 2019).
- 14) Application of sewage sludge to soils: Update of activity data in 2018.
- 15) Anaerobic digestion of energy crops: Update of activity data in 2018.

16) Crop residues: Minor corrections of cultivated areas and yields in the years 1999 and 2010 through 2012.

## Visual overview

Chart showing emission trends for main pollutants in *NFR 3 - Agriculture*:




NFR 3 emission trends per category

Contribution of NFR categories to the emissions/Anteile der NFR-Kategorien an den Emissionen

## Contribution of NFR categories to the emissions/Anteile der NFR-Kategorien an den Emissionen

2018 percentages per air pollutant / Anteile pro Luftschadstoff für 2019



\* w/o Transport / ohne Verkehr (1.A.3)

Quelle: German Emission Inventory (08.01.2021)

Contribution of NFR categories to the emissions

## Specific QA/QC procedures for the agriculture sector

Numerous input data were checked for errors resulting from erroneous transfer between data sources and the tabular database used for emission calculations. The German IEFs and other data used for the emission calculations were compared with EMEP default values and data of other countries (see Rösemann et al. (2021)). Changes of data and methodologies are documented in detail (see Rösemann et al. 2021, Chapter 3.5.2).

A comprehensive review of the emission calculations was carried out by comparisons with the results of Submission 2020 and by plausibility checks.

Once emission calculations with the German inventory model GAS-EM are completed for a specific submission, activity data (AD) and implied emission factors (IEFs) are transferred to the CSE database (Central System of Emissions) to be used to calculate the respective emissions within the CSE. These CSE emission results are then cross-checked with the emission results obtained by GAS-EM.

Model data have been verified in the context of a project by external experts (Zsolt Lengyel, Verico SCE). Results show that input data are consistent with other data sources (Eurostat, Statistisches Bundesamt / Federal Statistical Office) and that the performed calculations are consistently and correctly applied in line with the methodological requirements.

Furthermore, in addition to UNFCCC, UNECE and NEC reviews, the GAS-EM model is continuously validated by experts of KTBL (Kuratorium für Technik und Bauwesen in der Landwirtschaft, Association for Technology and Structures in Agriculture) and the EAGER group (European Agricultural Gaseous Emissions Inventory Researchers Network).

1)

Vos, C., Rösemann C., Haenel H-D., Dämmgen U., Döring U., Wulf S., Eurich-Menden B., Freibauer A., Döhler H., Schreiner C.,

Osterburg B. & Fuß, R. (2022): Calculations of gaseous and particulate emissions from German Agriculture 1990 -2020. Report on methods and data (RMD), Submission 2022. Thünen Report (in preparation).<https://www.thuenen.de/de/ak/arbeitsbereiche/emissionsinventare/>

<sup>2)</sup>  
Bittman, S., Dedina, M., Howard C.M., Oenema, O., Sutton, M.A., (eds) (2014): Options for Ammonia Mitigation. Guidance from the UNECE task Force on Reactive Nitrogen. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK.