

Short description

In sub-category 1.A.5.b ii - Other, Mobile (including Military) emissions from military aviation are reported.

~ NFR-Code	~ Name of Category	~ Method	~ AD	~ EF	~ Key Category	1
= 1.A.5.b ii	= Other: Military - Mobile Combustion: Airborne	= T1	= NS	= CS, D	= see superordinate chapter]	

Method

Activity data

The Energy Balance of the Federal Republic of Germany (AGEB) provides the basis for the activity data used. Since the Energy Balance does not provide separate listings of military agencies' final energy consumption as of 1995 – and includes this consumption in line 67, under “commerce, trade, services and other consumers” – additional sources of energy statistics had to be found for source category 1.A.5.

For source category 1.A.5.b, consumption data for **kerosene**, until 1995, were drawn from a special analysis of the Working Group on Energy Balances (AGEB).

For the years as of 1995, the official mineral-oil data of the Federal Republic of Germany (Amtliche Mineralölstatistik der Bundesrepublik Deutschland 2012), prepared by the Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (BAFA), are used (BAFA, 2019) ¹⁾. Provided in units of 1,000 tonnes [kt], these amounts have to be converted into terajoules [TJ] on the basis of the relevant net calorific values given by (AGEB, 2019) ²⁾.

As there is no consistent AGEB data available for **aviation gasoline**, delivery data from BAFA ³⁾ is used.

Table 1: Sources for consumption data in 1.A.5.b

~ Relevant years	~ Data Source
through 1994	AGEB - Special evaluation 1990-1994
since 1995	BAFA - Official oil data, table 7j, column: 'An das Militär'

Table 2: Annual fuel consumption in military aviation, in terajoules

	= 1990	= 1995	= 2000	= 2005	= 2006	= 2007	= 2008	= 2009	= 2010	= 2011	= 2012	= 2013	= 2014	= 2015	= 2016	= 2017	= 2018
Jet Kerosene	> 38,385	> 16,143	> 9,862	> 2,200	> 2,441	> 2,554	> 3,597	> 4,396	> 3,286	> 4,114	> 1,171	> 2,049	> 3,060	> 3,726	> 3,845	> 1,507	> 1,025
Aviation Gasoline	> 15.23	> 6.35	> 1.09	> 0.26	> 2.48	> 14.84	> 0.00	> 0.04	> 0.17	> 0.00	> 0.00	> 0.00	> 0.00	> 0.00	> 0.13	> 0.00	> 0.17
Σ 1.A.5.b ii	~ 38,400	~ 16,149	~ 9,863	~ 2,200	~ 2,443	~ 2,569	~ 3,597	~ 4,396	~ 3,286	~ 4,114	~ 1,171	~ 2,049	~ 3,060	~ 3,726	~ 3,845	~ 1,507	~ 1,025
1																	

gallery size="medium" : 1A5bii_AD.PNG : 1A5bii_AD_AvGas.PNG [gallery](#)

++ Emission factors

Without better information, constant tier1 values are used mainly (see table below).

NOTE: As the aircraft used for military purposes differ strongly from those used in civil aviation, the country specific EF used for kerosene in 1.A.3.a could not be used for reporting emissions from 1.A.5.b as well. Therefore, and due to missing information on the technical developments within the military aircraft fleet, the EF values applied show no trend.

Table 3: Country-specific emission factors, in kg/TJ

=	= NH ₃	= NMVOC	= NO _x	= SO _x	= PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀	1	= TSP	= BC	2	= CO
~ Kerosene	> 4.00	> 98.0	> 205	> 4.65		= 12.00	> 5.76	> 485		

With **activity data** for avgas remaining unrevised, small changes occur for jet kerosene due to corrected Net Calorific Values (NCVs) for 2016 and 2017.

Table: Revised activity data 2016 and 2017, in terajoules

	= 2016	= 2017
< 1.A.5.b ii TOTAL		
~ Submission 2020	> 3,844.98	> 1,506.56
~ Submission 2019	> 3,845.25	> 1,506.67
~ absolute change	> -0.27	> -0.11
~ relative change	> -0.01%	> -0.01%
< Jet Kerosene		
~ Submission 2020	> 3,844.85	> 1,506.56
~ Submission 2019	> 3,845.12	> 1,506.67
~ absolute change	> -0.27	> -0.11
~ relative change	> -0.01%	> -0.01%
< Avgas		
~ Submission 2020	> 0.13	> 0.00
~ Submission 2019	> 0.13	> 0.00
~ absolute change	> 0.00	> 0.00
~ relative change	> 0.00%	>

For more **information on recalculated emission estimates for Base Year and 2017**, please see the pollutant specific recalculation tables following chapter [8.1 - Recalculations](#)].

+ Uncertainties

Uncertainty estimates for **activity data** of mobile sources derive from research project FKZ 360 16 023: "Ermittlung der Unsicherheiten der mit den Modellen TREMOD und TREMOD-MM berechneten Luftschadstoffemissionen des landgebundenen Verkehrs in Deutschland". For detailed information, please refer to the project's final report [<https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/ermittlung-unsicherheiten-den-modellen-tremod> here] (German version only!).

Uncertainty estimates for **emission factors** were compiled during the PAREST research project. Here, the final report has not yet been published.

+ Planned improvements

There are no sub-sector specific improvements planned at the moment.

+ FAQs

What is the reason for the big jumps in the consumption of aviation gasoline in 2006 & '07 and the zero-consumption in 2008?

As mentioned above, consumption is deducted from AGEB and BAFA data on the amounts of fuels delivered to the sector. Therefore, the big jumps reported for 2006 & '07 might result from the storage of aviation gasoline in military stocks. Consequentially, the 0.00 TJ reported for 2008 show the missing of any deliveries to the military and should not be misunderstood as a non-use. The party is aware of this issue and will try to solve it as soon as data allows.

On which basis does the party estimate the reported lead emissions from aviation gasoline?

assumption by party: aviation gasoline = AvGas 100 LL (AvGas 100 LL is the predominant sort of aviation gasoline in Western Europe)^{footnote <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Avgas>} : "...Common in North America and western Europe, limited availability elsewhere worldwide." ^{footnote} lead content of AvGas 100 LL: 0.56 g lead/liter (as tetra ethyl lead)^{footnote} EMEP/EEA GB 2016: "Thus, general emission factors for the stationary combustion of kerosene and the combustion of gasoline in cars may be applied. The only exception is lead. Lead is added to aviation gasoline to increase the octane number. The lead content is higher than in leaded car gasoline, and the maximum permitted levels in the UK are shown below. A value of 0.6 g of lead per litre of gasoline should be used as the default value if there is an absence of more accurate information. Actual data may be obtained from oil companies."^{footnote}

The applied procedure is similar to the one used for calculating lead emissions from leaded gasoline used in road transport.

(There, in contrast to aviation gasoline, the lead content constantly declined resulting in a ban of leaded gasoline in 1997.)

What is the country-specific methodology for estimating the reported TSP emissions from aviation gasoline?

The TSP emissions calculated depend directly on the reported lead emissions: The emission factor for TSP is 1.6 times the emission factor used for lead: $EF(TSP) = 1.6 \times EF(Pb)$. - The applied procedure is similar to the one used for calculating TSP emissions from leaded gasoline used in road transport.

Why does the party report TSP emissions from leaded avgas, but no such PM_{2.5}, or PM₁₀, emissions?

The EF(TSP) is estimated from the EF(Pb) which has been calculated from the lead content of Avgas 100 LL. There is no information on the per centual shares of PM_{2.5}, & PM₁₀, in the reported TSP and therefore no EF(PM_{2.5}) & EF(PM₁₀) were deducted.

Why are similar EF applied for estimating exhaust heavy metal emissions from both fossil and biofuels?

The EF provided in ⁶⁾ represent summatory values for (i) the fuel's and (ii) the lubricant's heavy-metal content as well as (iii) engine wear. Here, there might be no heavy metal contained the biofuels. But since the specific shares of (i), (ii) and (iii) cannot be separated, and since the contributions of lubricant and engine wear might be dominant, the same emission factors are applied to biodiesel and bioethanol.

bibliography : 1 : BAFA, 2019: Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (Bundesamt für Wirtschaft und Ausfuhrkontrolle, BAFA): Amtliche Mineralöl-daten für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: https://www.bafa.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Energie/Mineraloel/moel_amtliche_daten_2017_dezember.html, Eschborn, 2019. : 2 : AGEb, 2019: Working Group on Energy Balances (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen (Hrsg.), AGEb): Energiebilanz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland; URL: <https://ag-energiebilanzen.de/7-0-Bilanzen-1990-2017.html>, (Aufruf: 29.11.2019), Köln & Berlin, 2019. : 3: EMEP/EEA, 2019: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019, Copenhagen, 2019. : 4 : IZT, 2007: Joerß, W. et al.: Emissionen und Maßnahmenanalyse Feinstaub 2000 – 2020, Institut für Zukunftsstudien und Technologiebewertung (IZT), Berlin, Im Auftrag des Umweltbundesamtes, FKZ 204 42 202/2, Dessau-Roßlau, August 2007 - URL: <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/publikation/long/3309.pdf>
bibliography

¹⁾ (bibcite 1)

²⁾ (bibcite 2)

³⁾ (bibcite 1)

⁴⁾ (bibcite 5)

⁵⁾ (bibcite 3)

⁶⁾ (bibcite 3)